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## 1 Introduction

Barker Ryan Stewart have been engaged by Deicorp Projects (Crows Nest) Pty Ltd to prepare a Traffic and Parking Impact Assessment Report in accordance with the requirements of the NSW Government's "Guide to Traffic Generating Developments" and the North Sydney DCP 2013 to support a Planning Proposal to North Sydney Council for a mixed-use development consisting of residential apartments, commercial and retail space at the site known as the Five Ways Triangle on the Pacific Highway at Crows Nest.

The purpose of this report is to assess and address traffic, access, car parking and pedestrian issues generated by the proposed development. This can be briefly outlined as follows:

- The expected traffic generation to/from the proposed development.
- The impact of the proposed development on the road network.
- An analysis based on RMS traffic counts
- Vehicle parking provisions.
- Access design requirements.
- Provision for pedestrians
- Availability of public transport.

This Traffic and Parking Impact Assessment Report concludes that the subject site is suitable for the proposed development in relation to traffic impact, access and safety considerations.

### 1.1 References

- North Sydney Local Environmental Plan 2013
- North Sydney Development Control Plan 2013
- Existing Road Network - St Leonards and Crows Nest Station Precinct Transport Study prepared by Cardno for the NSW Department of Planning and Environment, 2017.
- NSW Roads and Maritime Services, Guide to Traffic Generating Developments, Version 2.2 dated October 2002.
- Australian Standards AS/NZS 2890.1: 2004 Parking - Off-street car parking, AS/NZS 2890.6: 2009 - Offstreet parking for people with disabilities and AS 2890.2: 2018 Off-street commercial vehicle facilities


## 2 Existing Conditions

### 2.1 The Site



Figure 1: Site Location
The Five Ways Triangle comprises multiple sites on a triangular parcel of land bounded by the Pacific Highway in the west, Falcon Street in the north and Alexander Street in the east as shown above in Figure 1.

The street addresses are 401 to 423 Pacific Highway, 3 to 15 Falcon Street and 8 Alexander Street, Crows Nest. The property descriptions are Lots 1 to 6 DP 16402, Lots 1 to 11 DP 29672, Lot 1 DP 127595 and Lot 1 DP 562966.

According to the North Sydney LEP 2013, the site is zoned as B4 Mixed Use which permits the development of a variety of land uses including residential flat buildings and commercial premises(business, office and retail).

### 2.2 Surrounding Land Uses

The area north of the site is zoned as B3 Commercial Core and Mixed Use comprising the area around Willoughby Road with a variety of small businesses and retail shops and cafes.

East of the site is predominately R2 Low Density Residential and some R3 Medium Density Residential. The areas west and south.

### 2.3 Existing Road Network

The roads immediately surrounding the site that will be directly impacted by the development are the Pacific Highway, Falcon Street and Alexander Street.

## Pacific Highway

The Pacific Highway is part of the state road network that provides the major north / south route through the locality from the Warringah Freeway at North Sydney to the M1 Motorway. It functions as an arterial road with 3 lanes in each direction, including a part-time bus lane (southbound) and a T3 Lane (northbound). It runs along the western boundary of the site where there are bus zones on either side of the road.

Falcon Street
Falcon Street is part of the state road network providing an east-west link between the Pacific Highway and the Warringah Freeway and runs along the northern boundary of the site. In the immediate vicinity of the site Falcon Street is a clearway in both directions. Further east of Alexander Street there is time-limited parking permitted on both sides of the road. There is a bus zone located on the northern side of the road opposite the site.

## Alexander Street

Alexander Street is a local street aligned generally in a north / south direction along the eastern boundary of the site. It is line marked as a four-lane, two-way road with a BB centreline. There is a bus zone on the eastern side of the road, time-limited parking on both sides outside of peak periods and NoStopping during peak periods.

Street-level shops are located along both sides of Alexander Street immediately surrounding the site and there is a Woolworths supermarket and 4-storey carpark on the corner of Alexander Street and Falcon Street.

## Shirley Road

Shirley Road is a local street that provides a connection between the Pacific Highway and the residential area of Wollstonecraft east of the north shore rail line. It also connects with River Road, a local collector road providing an east/west connection between Lane Cove and Crows Nest.

The section of Shirley Road between the Pacific Highway and River Road is line marked as a four-lane,twoway road with a BB centreline and full-time No Stopping restrictions on both sides.

## Intersections

The 3 intersections surrounding the site, Pacific Highway / Alexander Street, Pacific Highway / Falcon Street / Shirley Road and Falcon street / Alexander Street are all controlled by traffic signals. At the Pacific Highway / Falcon Street intersection the right turn movement from the Pacific Highway south leg to Falcon

Street is not permitted. Access from the Pacific Highway to Falcon Street is facilitated by right turns at the Pacific Highway / Alexander Street intersection and at the Alexander Street / Falcon Street intersection.

### 2.4 Existing Traffic Volumes

To assess the existing traffic volumes on the road network relevant to this report, AM and PM peak period traffic counts were conducted at the three signalised intersections surrounding the site on Wednesday 22 April 2020 from 7.00am to 9.00am and from 4.00pm to 6.00pm.

Note: It is acknowledged that the traffic counts were conducted at a time of reduced traffic volumes generally across Sydney due to the Coronavirus pandemic. Consequently, SCATS traffic count data was obtained from Transport for NSW for a typical mid-week day in February 2020 for the Pacific Highway / Alexander Street and the Pacific Highway / Falcon Street / Shirley Road intersections and used to calibrate the observed traffic data.

The April 2020 traffic counts at these intersections were compared to the SCATS detector counts recorded on Wednesday 5 February 2020. This comparison indicated that the February 2020 SCATS volumes were significantly higher than the April 2020 counts in both peak periods as follows:

|  | SCATS Volumes |  | Counts |  | \% Increase |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM | PM | AM | PM | AM | PM |
| Pacific Hwy / Alexander St | 2875 | 2681 | 1364 | 1338 | $210 \%$ | $200 \%$ |
| Pacific Hwy / Falcon St | 3516 | 3716 | 2136 | 2293 | $165 \%$ | $162 \%$ |

Consequently, the April 2020 volumes at all intersections were increased by these factors for input to the SIDRA modelling.

The results of these calibrated traffic counts are illustrated below.


Figure 2: Pacific Highway / Alexander Street


Figure 2: Pacific Highway / Falcon Street / Shirley Road


Figure 3: Falcon Street / Alexander Street

The calibrated traffic counts and SCATS data for the 3 intersections for this assessment provide data on the current hourly volumes and an indication of the existing peak hour operational performance of eachof the roads in the area surrounding the site.

Below is an overview of the hourly traffic volumes and the current operational performance of the surrounding network, based on the 'Guide to Traffic Generating Developments' that states:
'typical one-way mid-block lane capacities on urban arterial roads under interrupted flow conditions are 900-1000 veh/hr/lane. This calculation assumes Clearway conditions. The capacity falls to 600 veh/hr/lane for a kerbside lane with occasional parked vehicles. These capacities at times may increase under ideal conditions to $1200-1400$ veh/hr.'

## Pacific Highway (North of Falcon Street)

AM - 2,392 vehicles per hour two-way (1,216 northbound and 1,176 southbound). The northbound carriageway (3 lanes) averaged 405 vehicles per lane (LoS B). The southbound carriageway (3 lanes) averaged 392 vehicles per lane (LoS B).

PM - 2,123 vehicles per hour, two-way (1,038 northbound and 1,085 southbound). The northbound carriageway (3 lanes) averaged 346 vehicles per lane LoS B). The southbound carriageway (3 lanes) averaged 362 vehicles per lane (LoS B).

These volumes indicate that the Pacific Highway is operating at less than $50 \%$ capacity during peakperiods.
Falcon Street
AM - 1,652 vehicles per hour, two-way ( 860 eastbound and 792 westbound). Eastbound carriageway (2 lanes) averaged 430 vehicles per lane (LoS C). Westbound carriageway ( 2 lanes) averaged 396 vehicles per hour (LoS B).

PM - 1,685 vehicles per hour, two-way (742 eastbound and 943 westbound). Eastbound carriageway (2 lanes) averaged 371 vehicles per lane (LoS B). Westbound carriageway (2 lanes) averaged 472 vehicles per hour (LoS B).

These volumes indicate that Falcon Street is operating at around $50 \%$ capacity during peak periods.

## Shirley Road

AM - 1,161 vehicles per hour, two-way (701 eastbound and 460 westbound). Eastbound carriageway (2 lanes) averaged 350 vehicles per lane (LoS B). Westbound carriageway ( 2 lanes) averaged 230 vehicles per hour (LoS A).

PM - 1,622 vehicles per hour, two-way ( 568 eastbound and 1,054 westbound). Eastbound carriageway (2 lanes) averaged 284 vehicles per lane (LoS B). Westbound carriageway (2 lanes) averaged 527 vehicles per hour (LoS C).

These volumes indicate that Shirley Road is operating at around $50 \%$ capacity during peak periods.

## Alexander Street

AM - 664 vehicles per hour, two-way ( 302 northbound and 362 southbound) The northbound carriageway (2 lanes) averaged 151 vehicles per lane (LoS A). The southbound carriageway (2 lanes) averaged 181 vehicles per lane (LoS A).

These volumes indicate that Alexander Street is operating at 20 to $30 \%$ capacity during peak periods.
These hourly volumes indicate that the road network surrounding the site is operating at a high level of service, which shows that the network has ample capacity to cater for additional traffic that will be generated by developments in the area.

### 2.5 Public Transport, Pedestrians

The site is located close to several bus routes providing the services along the Pacific Highway, Falcon Street, Shirley Road and Alexander Street to a wide range of destinations including King Street Wharf, North Sydney, Chatswood, Lane Cove, McMahons Point, Bondi, Epping, Mascot, Gore Hill, Ryde, Riverview, Denistone East, Manly, Balmoral Beach, Spit Junction and Kingsford.

Bus stops are located within 100 metres of the site in the Pacific Highway, Falcon Street, Shirley Road and

Alexander Street.

St Leonards Station is located 1 km to the north-west along the Pacific Highway and the new Crows Nest Metro Station will be located on the eastern side of the Pacific Highway generally bounded by Oxley Street, Clark Lane and Hume Street. Station access will be via the corner of Clark Street and Hume Streetand at the corner of Pacific Highway and Oxley Street. The closest station entrance will be 240 metres from the site.

Sydney Metro will create connections between Sydney's north-west, west and south-west regions to Sydney's CBD and is scheduled for completion by 2024.

The site is therefore well-serviced by public transport offering a convenient alternative to the use ofprivate vehicles for access to and from the site.

Pedestrian access to and from the site is facilitated by the existing network of pedestrian footways connecting the site to the nearby supermarket and a variety of cafes, restaurants and speciality shops located along both sides of Willoughby Road. Details of bicycle paths are also available and shown attached in Appendix C.

The locations of public transport infrastructure in the vicinity of the site are shown below in Figure 5. Additional details are attached at Appendix A (Bus Route Map) and Appendix B (Sydney Train Map).


Figure 4: Bus stops close to the site. (Source: Google Maps 2020)

## 3 Proposed Development

### 3.1 The Development

The proposal is for a mixed-use development consisting of 129 residential apartments and $8,002 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ of nonresidential space ( $1,849 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ retail and $6,153 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ commercial). It is proposed to provide 385 parking spaces in 7 separate basement levels as well as storage for 404 bicycles and parking spaces for 22 motorcycles.

The proposed unit mix is provided below:

1-bedroom 32 Apartments
2-bedroom 79 Apartments
3-bedroom 18 Apartments
Total $=\quad 129$ Apartments

### 3.2 Access and Car Park

Vehicular access to and from the site will be via a single 9 metre wide driveway off Alexander Street that will be utilised by residents, visitors and service vehicles (deliveries and waste collection).

The entry/exit driveway, car parking areas and waste loading bay will be designed to comply with AS/NZS 2890.1-2004 Parking Facilities - Off Street Car Parking, AS 2890.2-2002 Parking Facilities - Off Street Commercial Vehicle Facilities, AS/NZS 2890.6-2009 off-street parking for people with disabilities and Council's DCP requirements.

The proposed driveway location complies with Figure 3.3 - Minimum Sight Distance for Pedestrian Safety AS/NZS 2890.1 and the proposed driveway gradients comply with AS/NZS 2890.1.

Pedestrian access to the residential lobby will be via Alexander Street and access to the commercial lobby will be via Falcon Street. The retail and community spaces will be on the ground floor with accessfrom Pacific Highway, Falcon Street and Alexander Street via through site links.

Swept path plans will be provided at DA stage demonstrating the circulation of vehicles within the basement carpark levels.

### 3.3 Service Vehicles and loading

Waste collection is proposed to be conducted by Council waste vehicles utilizing the collection area which is located at the north-western corner of Basement 01 . Waste and recycling bins will be stored in separate designated residential, retail and commercial refuse areas. Vehicle manoeuvring into and outof this area will facilitated by a turntable that will allow vehicles to enter and exit the site in a forward direction.

Swept path plans will be provided at DA stage demonstrating forward ingress and egress of the Council waste vehicle.

### 3.4 Parking Provision and Requirements

The parking provision for the residential apartments and the non-residential developments will beprovided in accordance with the requirements of North Sydney Council's Development Control Plan 2013.

## Car Parking

For residential flat buildings (B4 Mixed-Use) the parking requirements are:

- Studio/ 1 bedroom - 0.5 spaces per dwelling;
- or more bedrooms - 1 space per dwelling;
- Food and drink premises - 1 space per $50 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$;
- All other commercial/retail uses -1 space per $60 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$.

Table 1: Car parking requirements and provision

| Land Use | North Sydney DCP 2013 | Proposed Parking <br> Provision |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential: | DCP Rates | 247 spaces |

The proposed 247 car parking spaces are in accordance with the DCP requirements.

## Proximity to public transport

As discussed in Section 2.5 of this report, the site is well-serviced by public transport offering a convenient alternative to the use of private vehicles for access to and from the site.

The site is located close to several bus routes providing services along the Pacific Highway, Falcon Street, Shirley Road and Alexander Street to a wide range of destinations across the Sydney metropolitan area, bus stops are located within 100 metres of the site in the Pacific Highway, Falcon Street, Shirley Road and Alexander Street and St Leonards Station is located 1 km to the north-west along the Pacific Highway. In addition, the new Crows Nest Metro Station will be located on the eastern side of the Pacific Highway with the closest station entrance 400 metres from the site.

Sydney Metro will create connections between Sydney's north-west, west and south-west regions to Sydney's CBD and is scheduled for completion by 2024.

The site will therefore meet the requirements of transit-oriented developments which usually have the following characteristics;

- A rapid and frequent transit service;
- High accessibility to the transit station;
- A mix of residential, retail, commercial and community uses;
- High quality public spaces and streets, which are pedestrian and cyclist friendly
- Medium to high density development within 800 metres of the transit station; and
- Reduced rates of private car parking


## Car Share

The provision of 12 car share spaces as part of the non-residential retail and commercial areas will also assist in meeting the travel needs of the residents and the staff and the customers of the retail and commercial areas and contribute to reducing the demand for individually held parking spaces. The availability of the car sharefacility will provide a viable alternative to the purchase of a vehicle or an additional vehicle, particularly in situations where a vehicle is only required on an intermittent basis.

## Accessible Parking

The North Sydney DCP requires accessible parking at the rate of 1 space per 10 residential parking spaces provided. The proposed development will therefore require 12 of the residential spaces to be accessible parking spaces.

## Bicycle Parking

Secure bicycle parking for residents will be provided within the each of the basement carparks from Basements 02 to 07 in separate bicycle storage areas. Bicycle racks will be provided in Basements 01 and 02 for the use of visitors to the various land uses within the site (residential, commercial, retail and community). These will service the bicycle parking needs of both residents and visitors.

Table 2: Bicycle parking requirements and provision

| Land Use | North Sydney DCP 2013 | Proposed Parking Provision |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential (129 units) <br> Residential visitors <br> Commercial $\left(6,153 m^{2}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { resident space per unit }=129 \text { spaces } \\ & 1 \text { visitor space per } 10 \text { units } \times 129=13 \text { spaces } \\ & 1 \text { space per } 150 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \text { for staff }=6,153 / 150=41 \text { spaces } \\ & 1 \text { space per } 400 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \text { for visitors }=6,153 / 400=16 \\ & \text { spaces } 1 \text { space per } 25 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \text { for staff }=1,849 / 25=74 \end{aligned}$ | Total $=292$ spaces |
| Retail (1,849m²) | spaces <br> 1 space per $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ for visitors $=1,849 / 100=19$ spaces |  |

The proposed 292 bicycle spaces are in accordance with the DCP requirements.

## Motorcycle Parking

Table 3: Motorcycle parking requirements and provision

| Land use | North Sydney DCP 2013 | Proposed Parking |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Provision |  |  |

The proposed 13 motorcycle parking spaces are in accordance with the DCP requirements.

## 4 Traffic Assessment

### 4.1 Trip Generation

In accordance with the RMS "Guide to Traffic Generating Developments" and Technical Direction TDT 2013/04a "Guide to Traffic Generating Developments, Updated Traffic Surveys" the following trip generation rates have been adopted for this assessment:

Table 4: Trip generation rates

| Use | AM Trip Rates | PM Trip Rates |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Residential | 0.19 trips per units | 0.15 trips per units |
| Retail | 1.94 per $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | 2.7 trips per $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| Commercial | 1.6 trips per $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | 1.2 trips per $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |

### 4.1.1 Existing Development

The existing developments on the site consist of a mix of retail and commercial sites covering an area of approximately $3,200 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$.

Retail developments (assume $1,200 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ )
AM peak ( 1 hour) vehicle trips $=1,200 / 100 \times 1.94=23$ trips
PM peak ( 1 hour) vehicle trips $=1,200 / 100 \times 2.7=32$ trips
Commercial developments $\left(1,600 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right)$
AM peak ( 1 hour) vehicle trips $=2,000 / 100 \times 1.6=32$ trips
PM peak ( 1 hour) vehicle trips $=2,000 / 100 \times 1.2=24$ trips
Total trip generation of the existing developments
AM peak ( 1 hour) vehicle trips $=55$ trips
PM peak ( 1 hour) vehicle trips $=56$ trips

### 4.1.2 Proposed Development:

Adopting the same trip generation rates as for the existing development, the proposed developmentwould generate the following peak hour trips:

Table 5: $A M$ and $P M$ Trips

| Land Use | Yield | AM Peak Hour Trip <br> Rate | AM Peak Hour <br> Trips | PM Peak Hour Trip <br> Rate | PM Peak Hour <br> Trips |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential | 129 units | 0.19 trips $/$ unit | 25 | 0.15 trips $/$ unit | 20 |
| Retail | $1,849 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | 1.94 trips $/ 100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | 36 | 2.7 trips $/ 100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | 50 |
| Commercial | $6,153 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | 1.6 trips $/ 100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | 99 | 1.2 trips $/ 100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | 74 |
| Total | - |  | $\mathbf{1 6 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{1 4 4}$ |

The additional trips that would be generated by the development compared to the existing situation are calculated as:

AM peak hour trips $=160-55=105$ trips
PM peak hour trips $=144-56=88$ trips

Table 6: Calculation of additional trips

| Land Use | Existing AM <br> Peak Hour <br> Trips | Proposed <br> AM Peak <br> Hour Trips | Difference | Existing PM <br> Peak Hour <br> Trips | Proposed <br> PM Peak <br> Hour Trips | Difference |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential | - | 25 | 25 | - | 20 | 20 |
| Retail | 23 | 36 | 13 | 32 | 50 | 18 |
| Commercial | 32 | 99 | 67 | 24 | 74 | 50 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 8}$ |

### 4.2 Trip Distribution and Assignment

The additional trips that are expected to be generated by the proposed development consist of both inbound and outbound trips.

For residential developments it is generally assumed that in the AM peak $80 \%$ of trips will be outboundand $20 \%$ inbound with the reverse situation during the PM peak.

For commercial developments the distribution of trips is assumed to be $80 \%$ inbound and $20 \%$ outboundin the AM peak with the reverse situation during the PM peak.

For the retail developments and the community facility the distribution of trips is assumed to be $50 \%$ inbound and $50 \%$ outbound in the AM and PM peaks.

On this basis, the proposed development would generate the following additional trips to the roadnetwork:
AM Peak ( 105 trips):

- Outbound - 39 trips
- Residential-20
- Retail-6
- Commercial - 13
- Inbound - 66 trips
- Residential - 5
- Retail-7
- Commercial-54

PM Peak (88 trips):

- Outbound - 53 trips
- Residential-4
- Retail-9
- Commercial-40
- Inbound - 35 trips
- Residential - 16
- Retail-9
- Commercial - 10

These trips will be assigned to the network based on journey to work data for the North Sydney LGAprovided in the 2016 census which indicated that trips should be assigned as $15 \%$ north, $65 \%$ south, $5 \%$ east and $15 \%$ west as derived from Figure 6 below.

| Employment location of resident workers by LGA |  | $\checkmark$ | reset 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North Sydney Council area | 2016 |  |  |
| LGA | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*}$ | Number $\stackrel{\text { * }}{ }$ | \% $\uparrow$ |
| Sydney (C) |  | 16,098 | 39.9 |
| North Sydney (A) |  | 10,112 | 25.0 |
| Willoughby (C) |  | 2,907 | 7.2 |
| Ryde (C) |  | 1,808 | 4.5 |
| Northern Beaches (A) |  | 1,355 | 3.4 |
| Mosman (A) |  | 785 | 1.9 |
| No Fixed Address (NSW) |  | 740 | 1.8 |
| Parramatta (C) |  | 724 | 1.8 |
| Lane Cove (A) |  | 699 | 1.7 |
| Ku-ring-gai (A) |  | 548 | 1.4 |
| Inner West (A) |  | 501 | 1.2 |
| Botany Bay (C) |  | 438 | 1.1 |
| Randwick (C) |  | 388 | 1.0 |
| The Hills Shire (A) |  | 370 | 0.9 |
| Canada Bay (A) |  | 282 | 0.7 |
| Woollahra (A) |  | 282 | 0.7 |
| Hornsby (A) |  | 277 | 0.7 |
| Waverley (A) |  | 264 | 0.7 |
| Blacktown (C) |  | 185 | 0.5 |
| Canterbury-Bankstown (A) |  | 159 | 0.4 |
| Show me more! |  |  |  |

Source: Australian Bureau of Statistics, Census of Population and Housing 2016. Compiled and presented in profile.id by id, the population experts. Excludes employment locations with fewer than 10 people.

Figure 6: Employment Location of resident Workers for North Sydney LGA

### 4.3 Impact of Generated Traffic

Intersection performance has been assessed using the SIDRA modelling software which uses the level of service (delay) model adopted by Transport for NSW to assess intersection performance. Average delay is used to determine the level of service (LOS), which ranges from ' $A$ ' which is excellent service to ' $F$ ', with a LOS of ' $D$ ' being the minimum ideal performance.

The intersections outlined above have been assessed as a network for the existing and 10 -year growth scenarios for AM and PM peak periods. A growth rate of $0.5 \%$ per annum has been applied to the surveyed intersections to obtain the 10-year growth volumes, based on average historical growth ratesrecorded at Transport for NSW counting stations at Willoughby Road (Station ID: 33098) and River Road(Station ID: 32039) and an assumed reduction in the growth of traffic volumes in the area as a result of the Sydney Metro.

The differences in intersection performance between the existing and 10 year growth scenarios are summarised in the tables below. Note that the SIDRA analysis undertaken was based on the previous
proposal which consisted of 233 residential units whereas the current proposal is for only 129 units. The retail and commercial GFAs remain generally the same in both proposals. Therefore, the previous SIDRA analysis undertaken is considered to be conservative. SIDRA output reports are available in Appendix $\mathbf{E}$.

Table 7: Pacific Highway / Falcon Street / Shirley Road SIDRA Modelling Summary

| Pacific Highway / Falcon Street / Shirley Road |  | Existing Scenario |  | 10-year growth scenario |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Existing Conditions | Post Development Condition | Existing Condifions | Post <br> Development Condition |
| AM | Delay (s) | 35.4 | 35.0 | 89.2 | 99.7 |
|  | LOS | C | C | F | F |
| PM | Delay (s) | 41.9 | 43.7 | 57.8 | 67.3 |
|  | LOS | C | D | E | E |

Table 8: Pacific Highway / Alexander Street SIDRA Modelling Summary

| Pacific Highway Alexander Stree |  | Existing Scenario |  | 10-year growth scenario |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Existing Conditions | Post Development Condition | Existing Condifions | Post Development Condition |
| AM | Delay (s) | 12.5 | 12.2 | 19.6 | 20.7 |
|  | LOS | A | A | B | B |
| PM | Delay (s) | 16.6 | 17.4 | 22.6 | 30.4 |
|  | LOS | B | B | B | C |

Table 9: Falcon Street / Alexander Street SIDRA Modelling Summary

| Falcon Street / Alexander Stree |  | Existing Scenario |  | 10-year growth scenario |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Existing Conditions | Post <br> Development Condition | Existing Condifions | Post <br> Development Condition |
| AM | Delay (s) | 16.3 | 16.0 | 48.6 | 48.3 |
|  | LOS | B | B | D | D |
| PM | Delay (s) | 18.1 | 22.0 | 22.7 | 34.1 |
|  | LOS | B | B | B | C |

As shown in the tables above, the existing intersections generally operate at high levels of service with acceptable average delays and will continue to do so with the additional traffic that will be generated by the proposed development.

In the future scenarios, the additional development traffic is expected to have only a minor impact on the delays experienced by motorists at the Pacific Highway / Alexander Street and the Falcon Street / Alexander street intersections that will continue to operate at high levels of service.

The Pacific Highway / Falcon Street / Shirley Road intersection, however, is expected to operate at low levels of service ( E and F ) in the future scenarios due primarily to the background growth in traffic through this intersection. The development traffic will only marginally impact on the intersection performance, increasing average delays by only 10 seconds.

In summary, the traffic from the subject development will not have any significant impact on the efficiency of the surrounding road network and should not be responsible for any network improvements.

Any future background growth should be addressed through changes in travel patterns and transport modes and/or intervention by the road authorities by upgrading infrastructure and /or introducing travel demand measures. Consequently, the development can be supported based on traffic grounds.

## 5 Conclusion

This Traffic Impact and Parking Impact Assessment Report has been prepared in accordance with the requirements of the North Sydney DCP 2013 and the NSW Government's "Guide to Traffic Generating Developments" to support a Planning Proposal for a mixed-use development consisting of residential apartments, retail space and community space at the site knownas the Five Ways Triangle on the Pacific Highway at Crows Nest.

The proposal is for a mixed-use development consisting of 129 residential apartments, commercial space $\left(6,153 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right)$ and retail space ( $1,849 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ). It is proposed to provide 247 parking spaces in 5 separate basement levels as well as storage for 292 bicycles and parking spaces for 13 motorcycles.

The proposed number of car, bicycle and motorcycle parking spaces are in accordance with the North Sydney DCP 2013.

The site is well serviced by public transport offering a convenient alternative to the use of private vehicles for access to and from the site, providing opportunities for reducing the parking requirements for the proposal.

Vehicular access to and from the site will be via a single 9 metre wide driveway off Alexander Street that will be utilised by residents, visitors and service vehicles (deliveries and waste collection). Pedestrian access to the residential lobby will be via Alexander Street and access to the commercial lobby will be via Falcon Street. The retail and community spaces will be on the ground floor with access from Pacific Highway, Falcon Street and Alexander Street via through site links.

The proposed entry/exit driveway, car parking areas and waste loading facilities meet the requirements of the North Sydney DCP 2013 and will be designedin accordance with the requirements AS2890.1 - Off Street Car Parking, AS2890.2-2002 Parking Facilities - off Street Commercial Vehicle Facilities and AS2896.6 - Off Street Car Parking for People with Disabilities.

According to the SIDRA analysis undertaken, the additional traffic that will be generated by the development is not expected to have any significantimpact on the performance of the surrounding intersections, or the local road network.

From the above assessment, the subject site is considered suitable for the proposed development in relation to traffic impact, access, parking and safety considerations.

## Appendix A

## Bus Route Maps

(B)(B)


State Transit
Northern Beaches \& Lower North Shore



Appendix B
Sydney Train Map

## Sydney rail network

(M) Metro (1) Trains


Sydney metro and train lines

|  | Metro North West Line <br> Chatswood <br> Tallawong |  | North Shore \& Western Line <br> North Shore Western Richmond |  | Inner West \& Leppington Line Inner West Leppington City | $13$ | Bankstown Line <br> Liverpool <br> Lidcombe <br> City | 14 | Eastern Suburbs \& Illawarra Line Eastern Suburbs Illawarra Cronulla |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | Cumberland Line <br> Leppington Richmond | $16$ | Carlingford Line Carlingford Clyde | $77$ | Olympic Park Line Olympic Park Lidcombe | 18 | Airport \& South Line <br> Airport <br> South <br> City | 19 | Northern Line Northern Gordon |



## Appendix C

## Cycleway Network Map




## Appendix D

## Swept Path Analysis



| No | Date | AMENOM |  | SToner | Client |  | FIVE WAYS TRIANGLE CROWS NEST |  | aAJ |  | Pla | Plan No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 120332020 |  | BARKE |  |  |  |  | Drawn: | AAS |  | Horiz | CC200015TR01 |
| B | ${ }^{2900420202020}$ | SECONOO ISUUE THRISSUE | STEWART | Hunter |  | DEICORP |  | Checked: | as |  | X ${ }_{\text {esect }}$ | File Ref. |
| D | 30042020 | $\frac{\text { FOURTHISUE }}{\text { FIFTH SSUE }}$ | Ser soumions |  |  |  | ONUD FLOOR LEVEL LOADING DOCK ACCESS - 8.8M MRV |  |  | Datum: | A.H.D. | CC200015D01E SHEET 1 OF 4 SHEETS |


A1

$\omega^{5}$ MAL EEFORE

| No | DATE | A AENOMENT | BARKER | Simoteme | ciont | DEICORP | FIVE WAYS TRIANGLE, CROWS NEST | Designed: <br> Drawn: <br> Checked | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { AAN } \\ & \text { ANA } \\ & \text { ANA } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | ${ }^{\text {Pann }}$ Coo 200015 TR03 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B | ${ }^{20942020}$ | Scoovo ssuE | RYAN | centracois |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| c | 30042920 | THRROLSSUE | STEWART | Pameme |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Fil Ref. |  |
| D |  |  | utows | 2mamemmem |  |  | basement 1 PAssenger vehile circulation - b99 /B85 |  |  | Daum: | A.f.D |  | E |



## Appendix E <br> SIDRA Results

FALCON / ALEXANDER - EXISTING AM
Site Category: (None)
Signals - Fixed Time Coordinated Cycle Time $=90$ seconds (Network Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay)

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov Turn ID | Demand Flows Arrival Flows Total HV Total HV |  |  |  | Deg. Satn <br> v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | Aver. Back of Queue Prop. Vehicles Distance Queued |  |  | Effective Aver. No.Average Stop Cycles Speed |  |  |
|  | veh/h |  | veh/h | \% |  |  |  | veh | m |  |  |  | km/h |
| South: ALEXANDER STREET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 L2 | 39 | 5.4 | 39 | 5.4 | 0.487 | 41.2 | LOS C | 3.5 | 26.3 | 0.94 | 0.78 | 0.94 | 8.8 |
| 2 T1 | 279 | 9.4 | 279 | 9.4 | 0.487 | 35.4 | LOS C | 4.3 | 32.8 | 0.93 | 0.76 | 0.93 | 20.3 |
| 3 R 2 | 2 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 | 0.487 | 40.8 | LOS C | 4.3 | 32.8 | 0.92 | 0.76 | 0.92 | 20.0 |
| Approach | 320 | 8.9 | 320 | 8.9 | 0.487 | 36.1 | LOS C | 4.3 | 32.8 | 0.93 | 0.77 | 0.93 | 19.1 |
| East: FALCON STREET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 L2 | 311 | 5.8 | 311 | 5.8 | 0.761 | 16.1 | LOS B | 11.1 | 82.7 | 0.71 | 0.76 | 0.78 | 29.7 |
| 5 T1 | 794 | 8.6 | 794 | 8.6 | 0.761 | 13.0 | LOS A | 11.1 | 82.7 | 0.72 | 0.73 | 0.79 | 28.8 |
| Approach | 1104 | 7.8 | 1104 | 7.8 | 0.761 | 13.9 | LOS A | 11.1 | 82.7 | 0.72 | 0.74 | 0.79 | 29.0 |
| North: ALEXANDER STREET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 L2 | 14 | 15.4 | 14 | 15.4 | 0.116 | 37.9 | LOS C | 0.9 | 7.0 | 0.85 | 0.67 | 0.85 | 24.0 |
| 8 T1 | 71 | 9.0 | 71 | 9.0 | 0.116 | 32.2 | LOS C | 1.0 | 7.3 | 0.85 | 0.65 | 0.85 | 17.0 |
| Approach | 84 | 10.0 | 84 | 10.0 | 0.116 | 33.1 | LOS C | 1.0 | 7.3 | 0.85 | 0.65 | 0.85 | 18.5 |
| West: FALCON STREET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 L2 | 81 | 5.2 | 81 | 5.2 | 0.369 | 13.4 | LOS A | 6.4 | 47.6 | 0.57 | 0.55 | 0.57 | 36.5 |
| 11 T1 | 824 | 7.0 | 824 | 7.0 | 0.369 | 10.4 | LOS A | 8.6 | 64.1 | 0.68 | 0.63 | 0.68 | 37.3 |
| Approach | 905 | 6.9 | 905 | 6.9 | 0.369 | 10.7 | LOS A | 8.6 | 64.1 | 0.67 | 0.62 | 0.67 | 37.3 |
| All Vehicles | 2414 | 7.7 | 2414 | 7.7 | 0.761 | 16.3 | LOS B | 11.1 | 82.7 | 0.73 | 0.69 | 0.77 | 29.1 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

| Movement Performance - Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Mov} \\ \mathrm{ID} \end{gathered}$ | Description | Demand Flow ped/h | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | Average Back Pedestrian ped | f Queue Distance m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate |
| P1 | South Full Crossing | 40 | 39.3 | LOS D | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| P2 | East Full Crossing | 57 | 39.3 | LOS D | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| P3 | North Full Crossing | 53 | 39.3 | LOS D | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| P4 | West Full Crossing | 52 | 39.3 | LOS D | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| All Pedestrians |  | 201 | 39.3 | LOS D |  |  | 0.94 | 0.94 |

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay)
Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement.
Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

FALCON / ALEXANDER - EXISTING PM
Site Category: (None)
Signals - Fixed Time Coordinated Cycle Time = 100 seconds (Network Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay)

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov Turn ID | Demand Flows Arrival Flows Total HV Total HV |  |  |  | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | Aver. Back of Queue Prop. Vehicles Distance Queued |  |  | Effective Aver. No.Average Stop Cycles Speed |  |  |
|  | veh/h |  | veh/h | \% |  |  |  | veh | m |  |  |  | km/h |
| South: ALEXANDER STREET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 L2 | 18 | 23.5 | 18 | 23.5 | 0.796 | 61.5 | LOS E | 5.1 | 38.6 | 1.00 | 0.87 | 1.13 | 6.1 |
| 2 T1 | 317 | 7.3 | 317 | 7.3 | 0.796 | 55.7 | LOS D | 5.7 | 42.4 | 1.00 | 0.87 | 1.12 | 14.8 |
| 3 R 2 | 2 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 | 0.796 | 61.0 | LOS E | 5.7 | 42.4 | 1.00 | 0.87 | 1.12 | 14.6 |
| Approach | 337 | 8.1 | 337 | 8.1 | 0.796 | 56.1 | LOS D | 5.7 | 42.4 | 1.00 | 0.87 | 1.12 | 14.4 |
| East: FALCON STREET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 L2 | 353 | 2.4 | 353 | 2.4 | 0.789 | 14.6 | LOS B | 14.0 | 100.1 | 0.65 | 0.71 | 0.67 | 31.7 |
| 5 T1 | 975 | 2.2 | 975 | 2.2 | 0.789 | 10.8 | LOS A | 14.0 | 100.1 | 0.65 | 0.68 | 0.69 | 31.4 |
| Approach | 1327 | 2.2 | 1327 | 2.2 | 0.789 | 11.8 | LOS A | 14.0 | 100.1 | 0.65 | 0.69 | 0.69 | 31.4 |
| North: ALEXANDER STREET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 L2 | 16 | 6.7 | 16 | 6.7 | 0.196 | 49.7 | LOS D | 1.2 | 9.0 | 0.94 | 0.71 | 0.94 | 20.4 |
| 8 T1 | 73 | 5.8 | 73 | 5.8 | 0.196 | 44.0 | LOS D | 1.2 | 9.1 | 0.94 | 0.70 | 0.94 | 13.5 |
| Approach | 88 | 6.0 | 88 | 6.0 | 0.196 | 45.0 | LOS D | 1.2 | 9.1 | 0.94 | 0.71 | 0.94 | 15.0 |
| West: FALCON STREET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 L2 | 78 | 1.4 | 78 | 1.4 | 0.270 | 11.8 | LOS A | 6.0 | 42.6 | 0.56 | 0.55 | 0.56 | 39.1 |
| 11 T1 | 701 | 2.0 | 701 | 2.0 | 0.270 | 9.2 | LOS A | 8.7 | 62.0 | 0.71 | 0.65 | 0.71 | 39.0 |
| Approach | 779 | 1.9 | 779 | 1.9 | 0.270 | 9.4 | LOS A | 8.7 | 62.0 | 0.69 | 0.64 | 0.69 | 39.0 |
| All Vehicles | 2532 | 3.0 | 2532 | 3.0 | 0.796 | 18.1 | LOS B | 14.0 | 100.1 | 0.72 | 0.70 | 0.75 | 27.3 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

| Movement Performance - Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Mov } \\ \hline \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | Description | Demand Flow ped $/ \mathrm{h}$ | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | Average Back Pedestrian ped | of Queue Distance m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate |
| P1 | South Full Crossing | 11 | 44.2 | LOS E | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| P2 | East Full Crossing | 13 | 44.2 | LOS E | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| P3 | North Full Crossing | 14 | 44.2 | LOS E | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| P4 | West Full Crossing | 16 | 44.2 | LOS E | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| All Pedestrians |  | 53 | 44.2 | LOS E |  |  | 0.94 | 0.94 |

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay)
Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement.
Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

PACIFIC / ALEXANDER - EXISTING AM
Site Category: (None)
Signals - Fixed Time Coordinated Cycle Time = 90 seconds (Network Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay)

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov Turn ID | Demand Flows Arrival Flows Total HV Total HV |  |  |  | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | Aver. Back of Queue Vehicles Distance |  | Prop. Queued | Effective Aver. No.Average Stop Cycles Speed |  |  |
|  | veh/h |  | veh/h | \% |  |  |  | veh | m |  |  |  | km/h |
| South: PACIFIC HIGHWAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 T1 | 992 | 9.6 | 992 | 9.6 | 0.332 | 2.6 | LOS A | 3.6 | 27.2 | 0.29 | 0.26 | 0.29 | 45.2 |
| 3a R1 | 300 | 9.5 | 300 | 9.5 | 0.552 | 33.2 | LOS C | 6.9 | 51.9 | 0.89 | 0.81 | 0.89 | 12.2 |
| Approach | 1292 | 9.5 | 1292 | 9.5 | 0.552 | 9.7 | LOS A | 6.9 | 51.9 | 0.43 | 0.39 | 0.43 | 27.2 |
| NorthEast: ALEXANDER STREET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24a L1 | 321 | 6.9 | 321 | 6.9 | 0.406 | 27.6 | LOS B | 7.2 | 53.5 | 0.89 | 0.82 | 0.89 | 19.0 |
| 26b R3 | 60 | 3.5 | 60 | 3.5 | 0.566 | 54.6 | LOS D | 1.7 | 12.5 | 1.00 | 0.77 | 1.05 | 6.6 |
| Approach | 381 | 6.4 | 381 | 6.4 | 0.566 | 31.8 | LOS C | 7.2 | 53.5 | 0.91 | 0.81 | 0.92 | 16.4 |
| North: PACIFIC HIGHWAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7b L3 | 18 | 0.0 | 18 | 0.0 | 0.566 | 11.3 | LOS A | 3.1 | 23.9 | 0.28 | 0.26 | 0.28 | 36.6 |
| 8 T1 | 1324 | 12.0 | 1324 | 12.0 | 0.566 | 9.8 | LOS A | 8.9 | 68.7 | 0.44 | 0.39 | 0.44 | 36.3 |
| Approach | 1342 | 11.8 | 1342 | 11.8 | 0.566 | 9.8 | LOS A | 8.9 | 68.7 | 0.44 | 0.39 | 0.44 | 36.3 |
| All Vehicles | 3015 | 10.2 | 3015 | 10.2 | 0.566 | 12.5 | LOS A | 8.9 | 68.7 | 0.50 | 0.44 | 0.50 | 28.8 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab).
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Movement Performance - Pedestrians

| Mov | Description | Demand <br> Flow/ <br> ID | Average <br> Delay <br> sed | Level of <br> Service | Average Back of Queue <br> Pedestrian <br> ped | Prop. <br> Distance <br> Queued | Effective <br> Stop Rate |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| P6 | NorthEast Full Crossing | 39 | 39.3 | LOS D | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.93 |
| P3 | North Full Crossing | 18 | 39.2 | LOS D | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.93 |
| All Pedestrians | 57 | 39.3 | LOS D |  | 0.93 |  |  |

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay)
Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement.
Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com
Organisation: BARKER RYAN STEWART | Processed: Monday, 11 May 2020 5:57:23 PM
Project: C:IUsersIrobert|DocumentsICrows Nest Trianglel[CC200015] EXISTING.sip8

PACIFIC / ALEXANDER - EXISTING PM
Site Category: (None)
Signals - Fixed Time Coordinated Cycle Time = 100 seconds (Network Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay)

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov Turn ID | Demand Flows Arrival Flows |  |  |  | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay <br> sec | Level of Service | Aver. Back of Queue Vehicles Distance |  | Prop. Queued | Effective Aver. No.Average Stop Cycles Speed |  |  |
|  | veh/h |  | veh/h | \% |  |  |  | veh | m |  |  |  | km/h |
| South: PACIFIC HIGHWAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 T1 | 1173 | 6.6 | 1173 | 6.6 | 0.693 | 7.8 | LOS A | 9.5 | 70.3 | 0.55 | 0.50 | 0.55 | 30.1 |
| 3a R1 | 309 | 8.8 | 309 | 8.8 | 0.515 | 33.6 | LOS C | 7.5 | 56.6 | 0.86 | 0.80 | 0.86 | 12.0 |
| Approach | 1482 | 7.1 | 1482 | 7.1 | 0.693 | 13.2 | LOS A | 9.5 | 70.3 | 0.61 | 0.56 | 0.61 | 22.6 |
| NorthEast: ALEXANDER STREET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24a L1 | 303 | 4.2 | 303 | 4.2 | 0.701 | 47.0 | LOS D | 6.7 | 48.5 | 0.98 | 0.85 | 1.05 | 13.1 |
| 26b R3 | 122 | 0.0 | 122 | 0.0 | 0.701 | 44.5 | LOS D | 5.7 | 40.6 | 0.94 | 0.83 | 0.99 | 7.8 |
| Approach | 425 | 3.0 | 425 | 3.0 | 0.701 | 46.3 | LOS D | 6.7 | 48.5 | 0.97 | 0.85 | 1.03 | 11.8 |
| North: PACIFIC HIGHWAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7b L3 | 25 | 0.0 | 25 | 0.0 | 0.500 | 11.4 | LOS A | 1.8 | 13.3 | 0.21 | 0.23 | 0.21 | 35.5 |
| 8 T1 | 884 | 5.5 | 884 | 5.5 | 0.500 | 8.1 | LOS A | 4.7 | 34.2 | 0.32 | 0.28 | 0.32 | 38.8 |
| Approach | 909 | 5.3 | 909 | 5.3 | 0.500 | 8.2 | LOS A | 4.7 | 34.2 | 0.31 | 0.28 | 0.31 | 38.7 |
| All Vehicles | 2817 | 5.9 | 2817 | 5.9 | 0.701 | 16.6 | LOS B | 9.5 | 70.3 | 0.57 | 0.51 | 0.58 | 23.5 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab).
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Movement Performance - Pedestrians

| Mov | Description | Demand <br> Flow <br> ped/h | Average <br> Delay <br> sec | Level of <br> Service | Average Back of Queue <br> Pedestrian <br> ped | Prop. <br> Distance <br> Queued | Effective <br> Stop Rate |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| P6 | NorthEast Full Crossing | 53 | 44.3 | LOS E | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| P3 | North Full Crossing | 14 | 44.2 | LOS E | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| All Pedestrians | 66 | 44.3 | LOS E |  | 0.9 | 0.94 |  |  |

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay)
Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement.
Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.
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PACIFIC / FALCON / SHIRLEY - EXISTING AM
Site Category: (None)
Signals - Fixed Time Coordinated Cycle Time $=90$ seconds (Network Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay)

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mov Turn } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | Demand Flows Total veh/h |  | Arrival Total veh/h | Flows HV \% | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | Aver. Back of Queue Prop. Vehicles Distance Queued$\qquad$ veh m |  |  | Effective Aver. No.Average Stop Cycles Speed Rate |  |  |
| South: PACIFIC HIGHWAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 L2 | 187 | 11.2 | 187 | 11.2 | 0.177 | 14.2 | LOS A | 2.2 | 17.1 | 0.48 | 0.70 | 0.48 | 32.4 |
| 2 T1 | 712 | 9.5 | 712 | 9.5 | 0.784 | 32.7 | LOS C | 9.1 | 68.7 | 0.94 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 22.9 |
| Approach | 899 | 9.8 | 899 | 9.8 | 0.784 | 28.8 | LOS C | 9.1 | 68.7 | 0.84 | 0.82 | 0.89 | 24.4 |
| East: FALCON STREET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 L2 | 14 | 38.5 | 14 | 38.5 | 0.869 | 36.2 | LOS C | 10.8 | 80.0 | 0.97 | 0.94 | 1.10 | 9.6 |
| $5 \quad$ T1 | 297 | 4.3 | 297 | 4.3 | 0.869 | 31.0 | LOS C | 10.8 | 80.0 | 0.97 | 0.94 | 1.10 | 21.5 |
| 6 R2 | 523 | 10.3 | 523 | 10.3 | 0.869 | 34.2 | LOS C | 10.8 | 80.0 | 0.95 | 0.92 | 1.09 | 20.0 |
| Approach | 834 | 8.6 | 834 | 8.6 | 0.869 | 33.1 | LOS C | 10.8 | 80.0 | 0.95 | 0.92 | 1.10 | 20.4 |
| North: PACIFIC HIGHWAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 L2 | 414 | 9.7 | 414 | 9.7 | 0.501 | 17.8 | LOS B | 6.6 | 50.2 | 0.64 | 0.77 | 0.64 | 25.3 |
| 8 T1 | 824 | 4.6 | 824 | 4.6 | 0.881 | 46.1 | LOS D | 12.7 | 92.7 | 1.00 | 1.06 | 1.29 | 13.2 |
| Approach | 1238 | 6.3 | 1238 | 6.3 | 0.881 | 36.7 | LOS C | 12.7 | 92.7 | 0.88 | 0.96 | 1.07 | 15.7 |
| West: SHIRLEY ROAD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 L2 | 46 | 13.6 | 46 | 13.6 | 0.853 | 47.4 | LOS D | 11.6 | 85.0 | 1.00 | 1.03 | 1.25 | 21.5 |
| 11 T1 | 492 | 4.5 | 492 | 4.5 | 0.853 | 42.1 | LOS C | 11.6 | 85.0 | 1.00 | 1.03 | 1.25 | 14.1 |
| 12 R2 | 201 | 1.6 | 201 | 1.6 | 0.853 | 48.4 | LOS D | 10.7 | 76.9 | 1.00 | 1.03 | 1.27 | 13.4 |
| Approach | 739 | 4.3 | 739 | 4.3 | 0.853 | 44.1 | LOS D | 11.6 | 85.0 | 1.00 | 1.03 | 1.26 | 14.5 |
| All Vehicles | 3709 | 7.3 | 3709 | 7.3 | 0.881 | 35.4 | LOS C | 12.7 | 92.7 | 0.91 | 0.93 | 1.07 | 18.5 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

| Movement Performance - Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mov } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | Description | Demand Flow ped/h | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | Average Back Pedestrian $\qquad$ | of Queue Distance m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate |
| P1 | South Full Crossing | 124 | 39.4 | LOS D | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| P2 | East Full Crossing | 39 | 39.3 | LOS D | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| P3 | North Full Crossing | 64 | 39.3 | LOS D | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| P4 | West Full Crossing | 95 | 39.4 | LOS D | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| All Pedestrians |  | 322 | 39.4 | LOS D |  |  | 0.94 | 0.94 |

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay)
Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement.

PACIFIC / FALCON / SHIRLEY - EXISTING PM
Site Category: (None)
Signals - Fixed Time Coordinated Cycle Time = 100 seconds (Network Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay)


Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

| Movement Performance - Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mov } \\ & \hline \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | Description | Demand Flow ped/h | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | Average Back Pedestrian ped | f Queue Distance m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate |
| P1 | South Full Crossing | 166 | 44.5 | LOS E | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| P2 | East Full Crossing | 48 | 44.3 | LOS E | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| P3 | North Full Crossing | 78 | 44.3 | LOS E | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| P4 | West Full Crossing | 143 | 44.4 | LOS E | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| All Pedestrians |  | 436 | 44.4 | LOS E |  |  | 0.95 | 0.95 |

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay)
Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement.

FALCON / ALEXANDER - EXISTING AM + DEVT
Site Category: (None)
Signals - Fixed Time Coordinated Cycle Time $=80$ seconds (Network Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay)

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov Turn ID | Demand Flows Arrival Flows Total HV Total HV |  |  |  | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | Aver. Back of Queue Prop. Vehicles Distance Queued |  |  | Effective Aver. No.Average Stop Cycles Speed |  |  |
|  | veh/h |  | veh/h | \% |  |  |  | veh | m |  |  |  | km/h |
| South: ALEXANDER STREET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 L2 | 62 | 3.4 | 62 | 3.4 | 0.530 | 30.7 | LOS C | 3.4 | 25.1 | 0.84 | 0.73 | 0.84 | 11.4 |
| 2 T1 | 279 | 9.4 | 279 | 9.4 | 0.530 | 21.8 | LOS B | 3.6 | 26.9 | 0.77 | 0.67 | 0.77 | 26.5 |
| 3 R 2 | 43 | 0.0 | 43 | 0.0 | 0.530 | 25.1 | LOS B | 3.6 | 26.9 | 0.73 | 0.64 | 0.73 | 27.0 |
| Approach | 384 | 7.4 | 384 | 7.4 | 0.530 | 23.6 | LOS B | 3.6 | 26.9 | 0.78 | 0.68 | 0.78 | 24.5 |
| East: FALCON STREET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 L2 | 357 | 5.0 | 357 | 5.0 | 0.779 | 18.4 | LOS B | 11.3 | 83.4 | 0.77 | 0.82 | 0.88 | 26.9 |
| 5 T1 | 794 | 8.6 | 794 | 8.6 | 0.779 | 15.6 | LOS B | 11.3 | 83.4 | 0.79 | 0.81 | 0.89 | 26.3 |
| Approach | 1151 | 7.5 | 1151 | 7.5 | 0.779 | 16.5 | LOS B | 11.3 | 83.4 | 0.78 | 0.81 | 0.89 | 26.5 |
| North: ALEXANDER STREET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 L2 | 14 | 15.4 | 14 | 15.4 | 0.097 | 31.6 | LOS C | 0.8 | 6.0 | 0.81 | 0.65 | 0.81 | 26.7 |
| 8 T1 | 71 | 9.0 | 71 | 9.0 | 0.097 | 25.9 | LOS B | 0.8 | 6.1 | 0.81 | 0.62 | 0.81 | 19.7 |
| Approach | 84 | 10.0 | 84 | 10.0 | 0.097 | 26.8 | LOS B | 0.8 | 6.1 | 0.81 | 0.63 | 0.81 | 21.2 |
| West: FALCON STREET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 L2 | 81 | 5.2 | 81 | 5.2 | 0.402 | 14.2 | LOS A | 6.1 | 45.5 | 0.61 | 0.58 | 0.61 | 35.5 |
| 11 T1 | 824 | 7.0 | 824 | 7.0 | 0.402 | 11.0 | LOS A | 7.8 | 58.1 | 0.71 | 0.64 | 0.71 | 36.6 |
| Approach | 905 | 6.9 | 905 | 6.9 | 0.402 | 11.3 | LOS A | 7.8 | 58.1 | 0.70 | 0.64 | 0.70 | 36.5 |
| All Vehicles | 2524 | 7.3 | 2524 | 7.3 | 0.779 | 16.0 | LOS B | 11.3 | 83.4 | 0.75 | 0.72 | 0.80 | 29.2 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

| Movement Performance - Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Mov } \\ \hline \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | Description | Demand Flow ped $/ \mathrm{h}$ | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | Average Back Pedestrian ped | of Queue Distance m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate |
| P1 | South Full Crossing | 40 | 34.3 | LOS D | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| P2 | East Full Crossing | 57 | 34.3 | LOS D | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| P3 | North Full Crossing | 53 | 34.3 | LOS D | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| P4 | West Full Crossing | 52 | 34.3 | LOS D | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| All Pedestrians |  | 201 | 34.3 | LOS D |  |  | 0.93 | 0.93 |

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay)
Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement.
Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

FALCON / ALEXANDER - EXISTING PM + DEVT
Site Category: (None)
Signals - Fixed Time Coordinated Cycle Time = 100 seconds (Network Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay)

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov Turn ID | Demand Total veh/h | Flows HV \% | Arrival Total veh/h | Flows HV \% | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | Aver. Back Vehicles veh | of Queue Distance <br> m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate | Aver. No.A Cycles | verage peed <br> km/h |
| South: ALEXANDER STREET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 L2 | 33 | 12.9 | 33 | 12.9 | 0.816 | 61.9 | LOS E | 5.8 | 43.4 | 1.00 | 0.89 | 1.14 | 6.0 |
| $2 \quad \mathrm{~T} 1$ | 317 | 7.3 | 317 | 7.3 | 0.816 | 56.1 | LOS D | 6.4 | 47.3 | 1.00 | 0.89 | 1.14 | 14.6 |
| 3 R2 | 28 | 0.0 | 28 | 0.0 | 0.816 | 61.4 | LOS E | 6.4 | 47.3 | 1.00 | 0.89 | 1.13 | 14.4 |
| Approach | 378 | 7.2 | 378 | 7.2 | 0.816 | 57.0 | LOS E | 6.4 | 47.3 | 1.00 | 0.89 | 1.14 | 14.0 |
| East: FALCON STREET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 L2 | 404 | 2.1 | 404 | 2.1 | 0.829 | 19.9 | LOS B | 18.0 | 128.3 | 0.73 | 0.80 | 0.79 | 25.9 |
| $5 \quad$ T1 | 975 | 2.2 | 975 | 2.2 | 0.829 | 16.9 | LOS B | 18.0 | 128.3 | 0.73 | 0.78 | 0.82 | 25.1 |
| Approach | 1379 | 2.1 | 1379 | 2.1 | 0.829 | 17.8 | LOS B | 18.0 | 128.3 | 0.73 | 0.79 | 0.81 | 25.3 |
| North: ALEXANDER STREET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 L2 | 16 | 6.7 | 16 | 6.7 | 0.168 | 47.4 | LOS D | 1.2 | 8.7 | 0.92 | 0.70 | 0.92 | 21.0 |
| 8 T1 | 73 | 5.8 | 73 | 5.8 | 0.168 | 41.7 | LOS C | 1.2 | 8.8 | 0.92 | 0.69 | 0.92 | 14.1 |
| Approach | 88 | 6.0 | 88 | 6.0 | 0.168 | 42.7 | LOS D | 1.2 | 8.8 | 0.92 | 0.69 | 0.92 | 15.6 |
| West: FALCON STREET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 L2 | 78 | 1.4 | 78 | 1.4 | 0.277 | 12.5 | LOS A | 6.2 | 43.8 | 0.58 | 0.56 | 0.58 | 38.1 |
| 11 T1 | 701 | 2.0 | 701 | 2.0 | 0.277 | 9.9 | LOS A | 8.6 | 61.4 | 0.71 | 0.65 | 0.71 | 38.0 |
| Approach | 779 | 1.9 | 779 | 1.9 | 0.277 | 10.2 | LOS A | 8.6 | 61.4 | 0.70 | 0.64 | 0.70 | 38.0 |
| All Vehicles | 2624 | 2.9 | 2624 | 2.9 | 0.829 | 22.0 | LOS B | 18.0 | 128.3 | 0.77 | 0.75 | 0.83 | 24.4 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

| Movement Performance - Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mov } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | Description | Demand Flow ped/h | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | Average Back Pedestrian ped | of Queue Distance $\qquad$ | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate |
| P1 | South Full Crossing | 11 | 44.2 | LOS E | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| P2 | East Full Crossing | 13 | 44.2 | LOS E | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| P3 | North Full Crossing | 14 | 44.2 | LOS E | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| P4 | West Full Crossing | 16 | 44.2 | LOS E | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| All Pedestrians |  | 53 | 44.2 | LOS E |  |  | 0.94 | 0.94 |

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay)
Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement.
Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

䗆 Network: N101 [FIVE WAYS Existing AM + DEVELOPMENT]

PACIFIC / ALEXANDER - EXISTING AM + DEVT
Site Category: (None)
Signals - Fixed Time Coordinated Cycle Time $=80$ seconds (Network Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay)

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov Turn ID | Demand Flows Arrival Flows |  |  |  | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay | Level of Service | Aver. Back of Queue Vehicles Distance |  | Prop. Queued | Effective Aver. No.Average Stop Cycles Speed |  |  |
|  | veh/h |  | veh/h | \% |  |  |  | veh | m |  |  |  | km/h |
| South: PACIFIC HIGHWAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 T1 | 992 | 9.6 | 992 | 9.6 | 0.342 | 2.9 | LOS A | 3.6 | 27.3 | 0.33 | 0.29 | 0.33 | 43.8 |
| 3a R1 | 325 | 8.7 | 325 | 8.7 | 0.621 | 31.5 | LOS C | 6.9 | 51.8 | 0.92 | 0.82 | 0.92 | 12.7 |
| Approach | 1317 | 9.4 | 1317 | 9.4 | 0.621 | 10.0 | LOS A | 6.9 | 51.8 | 0.48 | 0.42 | 0.48 | 26.8 |
| NorthEast: ALEXANDER STREET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24a L1 | 343 | 6.4 | 343 | 6.4 | 0.429 | 24.4 | LOS B | 6.8 | 49.9 | 0.88 | 0.82 | 0.88 | 20.5 |
| 26b R3 | 60 | 3.5 | 60 | 3.5 | 0.504 | 48.3 | LOS D | 1.5 | 11.0 | 1.00 | 0.76 | 1.01 | 7.3 |
| Approach | 403 | 6.0 | 403 | 6.0 | 0.504 | 28.0 | LOS B | 6.8 | 49.9 | 0.89 | 0.81 | 0.90 | 17.9 |
| North: PACIFIC HIGHWAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7b L3 | 43 | 0.0 | 43 | 0.0 | 0.607 | 11.0 | LOS A | 3.1 | 23.6 | 0.30 | 0.31 | 0.30 | 36.2 |
| 8 T1 | 1324 | 12.0 | 1324 | 12.0 | 0.607 | 9.7 | LOS A | 8.1 | 62.6 | 0.46 | 0.42 | 0.46 | 36.2 |
| Approach | 1367 | 11.6 | 1367 | 11.6 | 0.607 | 9.8 | LOS A | 8.1 | 62.6 | 0.46 | 0.42 | 0.46 | 36.2 |
| All Vehicles | 3087 |  | 3087 | 9.9 | 0.621 | 12.2 | LOS A | 8.1 | 62.6 | 0.52 | 0.47 | 0.52 | 29.1 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Movement Performance - Pedestrians

| Mov | Description | Den | Average | Level of Average Back of Queue |  |  | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Flow $\mathrm{ped} / \mathrm{h}$ | Delay sec | Service | Pedestrian ped | Distance <br> m |  |  |
| P6 | NorthEast Full Crossing | 39 | 34.3 | LOS D | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| P3 | North Full Crossing | 18 | 34.3 | LOS D | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| All Pe | estrians | 57 | 34.3 | LOS D |  |  | 0.93 | 0.93 |

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay)
Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement.
Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com
Organisation: BARKER RYAN STEWART | Processed: Tuesday, 12 May 2020 10:32:30 AM

Project: C:IUsers\robert\Documents\Crows Nest Triangle\[CC200015] EXISTING + DEVELOPMENT.sip8

䗆 Network: N101 [FIVE WAYS Existing PM + DEVELOPMENT]

PACIFIC / ALEXANDER - EXISTING PM + DEVT
Site Category: (None)
Signals - Fixed Time Coordinated Cycle Time = 100 seconds (Network Optimum Cycle Time - Minimum Delay)

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov Turn | Demand Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { =lows } \\ \mathrm{HV} \end{gathered}$ | Arrival Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lows } \\ & \text { HV } \end{aligned}$ | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | Aver. Back of Queue Prop. Vehicles Distance Queued$\qquad$ veh m |  |  | Effective Aver. No.Average Stop Cycles Speed Rate |  |  |
|  | veh/h |  | veh/h | \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | km/h |
| South: PACIFIC HIGHWAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2 \quad$ T1 | 1173 | 6.6 | 1173 | 6.6 | 0.711 | 8.4 | LOS A | 9.7 | 71.9 | 0.57 | 0.52 | 0.57 | 29.0 |
| 3a R1 | 339 | 8.1 | 339 | 8.1 | 0.562 | 34.2 | LOS C | 8.4 | 62.7 | 0.88 | 0.81 | 0.88 | 11.9 |
| Approach | 1512 | 7.0 | 1512 | 7.0 | 0.711 | 14.2 | LOS A | 9.7 | 71.9 | 0.64 | 0.58 | 0.64 | 21.7 |
| NorthEast: ALEXANDER STREET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24a L1 | 318 | 4.0 | 318 | 4.0 | 0.683 | 46.2 | LOS D | 6.8 | 49.5 | 0.98 | 0.85 | 1.03 | 13.2 |
| 26b R3 | 122 | 0.0 | 122 | 0.0 | 0.683 | 44.8 | LOS D | 5.9 | 41.8 | 0.94 | 0.83 | 0.98 | 7.8 |
| Approach | 440 | 2.9 | 440 | 2.9 | 0.683 | 45.8 | LOS D | 6.8 | 49.5 | 0.97 | 0.84 | 1.01 | 11.9 |
| North: PACIFIC HIGHWAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7b L3 | 55 | 0.0 | 55 | 0.0 | 0.536 | 11.9 | LOS A | 2.1 | 15.4 | 0.24 | 0.30 | 0.24 | 32.8 |
| 8 T1 | 884 | 5.5 | 884 | 5.5 | 0.536 | 8.9 | LOS A | 5.2 | 38.1 | 0.35 | 0.33 | 0.35 | 37.2 |
| Approach | 939 | 5.2 | 939 | 5.2 | 0.536 | 9.1 | LOS A | 5.2 | 38.1 | 0.35 | 0.33 | 0.35 | 37.0 |
| All Vehicles | 2891 | 5.8 | 2891 | 5.8 | 0.711 | 17.4 | LOS B | 9.7 | 71.9 | 0.59 | 0.54 | 0.60 | 22.8 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Movement Performance - Pedestrians

| Mov | Description | Demand <br> Flow <br> lod | Average <br> Delay <br> sec | Level of <br> Service | Average Back of Queue <br> Pedestrian <br> ped | Prop. <br> Distance <br> Queued | Effective <br> Stop Rate |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| P6 | NorthEast Full Crossing | 53 | 44.3 | LOS E | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| P3 | North Full Crossing | 14 | 44.2 | LOS E | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| All Pedestrians | 66 | 44.3 | LOS E |  | 0.9 | 0.94 |  |  |

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay)
Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement.
Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com
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Project: C:IUsers\robert\Documents\Crows Nest Triangle\[CC200015] EXISTING + DEVELOPMENT.sip8

MOVEMENT SUMMARY
日 Site：PFS［PACIFIC／FALCON／SHIRLEY－EXISTING AM＋ DEVT］ $\qquad$
暒审 Network：N101［FIVE WAYS Existing AM＋DEVELOPMENT］
PACIFIC／FALCON／SHIRLEY－EXISTING AM＋DEVT
Site Category：（None）
Signals－Fixed Time Coordinated Cycle Time $=80$ seconds（Network Optimum Cycle Time－Minimum Delay）

| Movement Performance－Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Demand FlowsTotalHVveh／h |  | Arrival Flows Total HV |  | Deg． Satn v／c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | Aver．Back of Queue Vehicles Distance |  | Prop． Queued | Effective Aver．No．Average Stop Cycles Speed |  |  |
| South：PACIFIC HIGHWAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | L2 | 187 | 11.2 | 187 | 11.2 | 0.185 | 14.3 | LOS A | 2.1 | 16.2 | 0.50 | 0.71 | 0.50 | 32.3 |
| 2 | T1 | 712 | 9.5 | 712 | 9.5 | 0.807 | 30.1 | LOS C | 8.3 | 62.9 | 0.95 | 0.87 | 1.05 | 24.1 |
| Appr | ach | 899 | 9.8 | 899 | 9.8 | 0.807 | 26.8 | LOS B | 8.3 | 62.9 | 0.86 | 0.84 | 0.93 | 25.4 |
| East：FALCON STREET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | L2 | 14 | 38.5 | 14 | 38.5 | 0.864 | 34.0 | LOS C | 10.8 | 80.0 | 0.97 | 0.94 | 1.12 | 10.2 |
| 5 | T1 | 307 | 4.1 | 307 | 4.1 | 0.864 | 28.8 | LOS C | 10.8 | 80.0 | 0.97 | 0.94 | 1.12 | 22.5 |
| 6 | R2 | 536 | 10.0 | 536 | 10.0 | 0.864 | 31.2 | LOS C | 10.8 | 80.0 | 0.94 | 0.92 | 1.09 | 21.2 |
| Appr | ach | 857 | 8.4 | 857 | 8.4 | 0.864 | 30.4 | LOS C | 10.8 | 80.0 | 0.95 | 0.93 | 1.10 | 21.5 |
| North：PACIFIC HIGHWAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | L2 | 414 | 9.7 | 414 | 9.7 | 0.458 | 15.7 | LOS B | 5.6 | 42.4 | 0.61 | 0.76 | 0.61 | 27.2 |
| 8 | T1 | 839 | 4.5 | 839 | 4.5 | 0.923 | 49.3 | LOS D | 12.8 | 93.3 | 1.00 | 1.15 | 1.47 | 12.5 |
| Appr | ach | 1253 | 6.2 | 1253 | 6.2 | 0.923 | 38.2 | LOS C | 12.8 | 93.3 | 0.87 | 1.02 | 1.19 | 15.2 |
| West：SHIRLEY ROAD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | L2 | 46 | 13.6 | 46 | 13.6 | 0.881 | 48.0 | LOS D | 11.0 | 80.9 | 1.00 | 1.08 | 1.35 | 21.3 |
| 11 | T1 | 492 | 4.5 | 492 | 4.5 | 0.881 | 42.5 | LOS C | 11.0 | 80.9 | 1.00 | 1.08 | 1.36 | 14.0 |
| 12 | R2 | 212 | 1.5 | 212 | 1.5 | 0.881 | 48.6 | LOS D | 10.4 | 74.4 | 1.00 | 1.08 | 1.37 | 13.4 |
| Appr | ach | 749 | 4.2 | 749 | 4.2 | 0.881 | 44.5 | LOS D | 11.0 | 80.9 | 1.00 | 1.08 | 1.36 | 14.4 |
| All V | hicles | 3758 | 7.2 | 3758 | 7.2 | 0.923 | 35.0 | LOS C | 12.8 | 93.3 | 0.91 | 0.97 | 1.14 | 18.7 |

Site Level of Service（LOS）Method：Delay（RTA NSW）．Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog（Network tab）．
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement．
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements．
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used．Control Delay includes Geometric Delay．
Gap－Acceptance Capacity：SIDRA Standard（Akçelik M3D）．
HV（\％）values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation．

Movement Performance－Pedestrians

| Mov | Demand <br> Flow <br> ped／h | Average <br> Delay <br> sec | Level of <br> Service | Average Back of Queue <br> Pedestrian <br> ped | Prop． <br> Distance <br> Queued | Effective <br> Stop Rate |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| P1 | South Full Crossing | 124 | 34.4 | LOS D | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| P2 | East Full Crossing | 39 | 34.3 | LOS D | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| P3 | North Full Crossing | 64 | 34.3 | LOS D | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| P4 | West Full Crossing | 95 | 34.4 | LOS D | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| All Pedestrians | 322 | 34.4 | LOS D |  |  | 0.9 | 0.93 |  |

Level of Service（LOS）Method：SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method（Based on Average Delay）
Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement．

MOVEMENT SUMMARY
日 Site：PFS［PACIFIC／FALCON／SHIRLEY－EXISTING PM＋ DEVT］ $\qquad$
牢审 Network：N101［FIVE WAYS Existing PM＋DEVELOPMENT］
PACIFIC／FALCON／SHIRLEY－EXISTING PM＋DEVT
Site Category：（None）
Signals－Fixed Time Coordinated Cycle Time＝ 100 seconds（Network Optimum Cycle Time－Minimum Delay）

| Movement Performance－Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{ll} \text { Mov } & \text { Turn } \\ \text { ID } \end{array}$ | Demand Flows  <br> Total HV <br> veh／h $\%$ |  | Arrival Flows Total HV |  | Deg． Satn v／c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | Aver．Back of Queue Prop． Vehicles Distance Queued <br> veh m |  |  | Effective Aver．No．Average Stop Cycles Speed Rate |  |  |
| South：PACIFIC HIGHWAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 L2 | 559 | 0.6 | 559 | 0.6 | 0.950 | 48.5 | LOS D | 17.1 | 120.0 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 1.26 | 17.2 |
| 2 T1 | 618 | 1.0 | 618 | 1.0 | 0.509 | 26.9 | LOS B | 7.6 | 53.7 | 0.80 | 0.69 | 0.80 | 25.7 |
| Approach | 1177 | 0.8 | 1177 | 0.8 | 0.950 | 37.2 | LOS C | 17.1 | 120.0 | 0.90 | 0.83 | 1.02 | 20.8 |
| East：FALCON STREET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 L2 | 14 | 0.0 | 14 | 0.0 | 0.966 | 72.8 | LOS F | 11.3 | 80.0 | 1.00 | 1.25 | 1.48 | 5.1 |
| $5 \quad$ T1 | 561 | 1.1 | 561 | 1.1 | 0.966 | 67.7 | LOSE | 11.3 | 80.0 | 1.00 | 1.25 | 1.48 | 12.7 |
| 6 R2 | 437 | 4.3 | 437 | 4.3 | 0.813 | 44.9 | LOS D | 11.0 | 80.0 | 0.98 | 0.92 | 1.10 | 16.6 |
| Approach | 1012 | 2.5 | 1012 | 2.5 | 0.966 | 57.9 | LOS E | 11.3 | 80.0 | 0.99 | 1.10 | 1.32 | 14.1 |
| North：PACIFIC HIGHWAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 L2 | 325 | 3.6 | 325 | 3.6 | 0.573 | 37.0 | LOS C | 8.2 | 59.3 | 0.89 | 0.83 | 0.89 | 15.6 |
| 8 T1 | 834 | 8.2 | 834 | 8.2 | 0.719 | 33.7 | LOS C | 11.3 | 84.5 | 0.95 | 0.84 | 0.96 | 16.7 |
| Approach | 1159 | 6.9 | 1159 | 6.9 | 0.719 | 34.6 | LOS C | 11.3 | 84.5 | 0.93 | 0.83 | 0.94 | 16.4 |
| West：SHIRLEY ROAD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 L2 | 47 | 2.2 | 47 | 2.2 | 0.841 | 53.5 | LOS D | 10.9 | 77.0 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 1.21 | 20.0 |
| 11 T1 | 456 | 1.2 | 456 | 1.2 | 0.841 | 48.5 | LOS D | 10.9 | 77.0 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 1.22 | 12.7 |
| 12 R2 | 108 | 0.0 | 108 | 0.0 | 0.841 | 55.1 | LOS D | 9.0 | 63.6 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.25 | 12.3 |
| Approach | 612 | 1.0 | 612 | 1.0 | 0.841 | 50.1 | LOS D | 10.9 | 77.0 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 1.23 | 13.3 |
| All Vehicles | 3959 | 3.1 | 3959 | 3.1 | 0.966 | 43.7 | LOS D | 17.1 | 120.0 | 0.95 | 0.93 | 1.11 | 16.4 |

Site Level of Service（LOS）Method：Delay（RTA NSW）．Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog（Network tab）．
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement．
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements．
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used．Control Delay includes Geometric Delay．
Gap－Acceptance Capacity：SIDRA Standard（Akçelik M3D）．
HV（\％）values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation．

Movement Performance－Pedestrians

| Mov ID | Description | Demand | Average | Level of | Average B | of Queue | Prop． | Effective |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Flow ped／h | Delay sec | Service | Pedestrian ped | Distance m | Queued | Stop Rate |
| P1 | South Full Crossing | 166 | 44.5 | LOS E | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| P2 | East Full Crossing | 48 | 44.3 | LOS E | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| P3 | North Full Crossing | 78 | 44.3 | LOS E | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| P4 | West Full Crossing | 143 | 44.4 | LOS E | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| All Pedestrians |  | 436 | 44.4 | LOS E |  |  | 0.95 | 0.95 |

Level of Service（LOS）Method：SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method（Based on Average Delay）
Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement．

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

Site: FA [FALCON / ALEXANDER - EXISTING AM + GROWTH] 都 Network: N101 [FIVE WAYS Existing AM + GROWTH ]
FALCON / ALEXANDER - EXISTING AM + GROWTH
Site Category: (None)
Signals - Fixed Time Coordinated Cycle Time $=150$ seconds (Network Practical Cycle Time)
Design Life Analysis (Final Year): Results for 10 years

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov Turn ID | Demand Flows Arrival Flows |  |  |  | Deg. <br> Satn <br> v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | Aver. Back of Queue Vehicles Distance |  | Prop. Queued | Effective Aver. No.Average Stop Cycles Speed |  |  |
|  | veh/h |  | veh/h | \% |  |  |  | veh | m |  |  |  | km/h |
| South: ALEXANDER STREET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 L2 | 41 | 5.4 | 41 | 5.4 | 0.313 | 46.1 | LOS ${ }^{11}$ | 4.5 | 34.0 | 0.73 | 0.65 | 0.73 | 7.9 |
| 2 T1 | 293 | 9.4 | 293 | 9.4 | 0.313 | 38.6 | LOS C | 5.3 | 40.1 | 0.69 | 0.60 | 0.69 | 19.2 |
| 3 R2 | 2 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 | 0.313 | 42.9 | LOS ${ }^{11}$ | 5.3 | 40.1 | 0.67 | 0.57 | 0.67 | 19.2 |
| Approach | 336 | 8.9 | 336 | 8.9 | 0.313 | 39.5 | LOS C | 5.3 | 40.1 | 0.70 | 0.60 | 0.70 | 18.0 |
| East: FALCON STREET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 L2 | 326 | 5.8 | 326 | 5.8 | 0.954 | 74.5 | LOS F ${ }^{11}$ | 36.5 | 271.0 | 1.00 | 1.11 | 1.32 | 9.2 |
| $5 \quad$ T1 | 833 | 8.6 | 833 | 8.6 | 0.954 | 73.7 | LOS F ${ }^{11}$ | 36.5 | 271.0 | 1.00 | 1.16 | 1.33 | 8.9 |
| Approach | 1159 | 7.8 | 1159 | 7.8 | 0.954 | 73.9 | LOS F ${ }^{11}$ | 36.5 | 271.0 | 1.00 | 1.15 | 1.33 | 9.0 |
| North: ALEXANDER STREET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 L2 | 14 | 15.4 | 14 | 15.4 | 0.082 | 42.8 | LOS ${ }^{11}$ | 1.2 | 9.1 | 0.72 | 0.62 | 0.72 | 22.2 |
| 8 T1 | 74 | 9.0 | 74 | 9.0 | 0.082 | 37.0 | LOS C | 1.5 | 11.4 | 0.72 | 0.58 | 0.72 | 15.4 |
| Approach | 88 | 10.0 | 88 | 10.0 | 0.082 | 37.9 | LOS C | 1.5 | 11.4 | 0.72 | 0.58 | 0.72 | 16.8 |
| West: FALCON STREET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 L2 | 85 | 5.2 | 85 | 5.2 | 0.436 | 22.7 | LOS B | 10.8 | 80.0 | 0.59 | 0.57 | 0.59 | 27.6 |
| 11 T1 | 865 | 7.0 | 865 | 7.0 | 0.436 | 21.8 | LOS B | 10.8 | 80.0 | 0.71 | 0.65 | 0.71 | 26.7 |
| Approach | 951 | 6.9 | 951 | 6.9 | 0.436 | 21.8 | LOS B | 10.8 | 80.0 | 0.70 | 0.65 | 0.70 | 26.8 |
| All Vehicles | 2534 | 7.7 | 2534 | 7.7 | 0.954 | 48.6 | LOS ${ }^{11}$ | 36.5 | 271.0 | 0.84 | 0.87 | 0.99 | 14.4 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab).
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.
11 Level of Service is worse than the Level of Service Target specified in the Parameter Settings dialog.

| Movement Performance - Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mov } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | Description | Demand Flow ped/h | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | Average Back Pedestrian ped | of Queue Distance m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate |
| P1 | South Full Crossing | 48 | 69.3 | LOS $\mathrm{F}^{12}$ | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| P2 | East Full Crossing | 68 | 69.3 | LOS $\mathrm{F}^{12}$ | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| P3 | North Full Crossing | 63 | 69.3 | LOS $\mathrm{F}^{12}$ | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| P4 | West Full Crossing | 62 | 69.3 | LOS $\mathrm{F}^{12}$ | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| All P | destrians | 241 | 69.3 | LOS $\mathrm{F}^{12}$ |  |  | 0.96 | 0.96 |

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay)

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

Site: FA [FALCON / ALEXANDER - EXISTING PM + GROWTH ]
FALCON / ALEXANDER - EXISTING PM + GROWTH
Site Category: (None)
Signals - Fixed Time Coordinated Cycle Time $=130$ seconds (Network Practical Cycle Time)
Design Life Analysis (Final Year): Results for 10 years

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov Turn ID | Demand Flows Arrival Flows |  |  |  | Deg. Satn <br> v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | Aver. Back of Queue Vehicles Distance |  | Prop. Queued | Effective Aver. No.Average Stop Cycles Speed |  |  |
|  | veh/h |  | veh/h | \% |  |  |  | veh | m |  |  |  | km/h |
| South: ALEXANDER STREET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 L2 | 19 | 23.5 | 19 | 23.5 | 0.815 | 77.4 | LOS F ${ }^{11}$ | 6.9 | 51.9 | 1.00 | 0.88 | 1.12 | 4.9 |
| $2 \quad \mathrm{~T} 1$ | 333 | 7.3 | 333 | 7.3 | 0.815 | 71.5 | LOS F ${ }^{11}$ | 7.7 | 57.1 | 1.00 | 0.88 | 1.11 | 12.2 |
| 3 R 2 | 2 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 | 0.815 | 76.8 | LOS F ${ }^{11}$ | 7.7 | 57.1 | 1.00 | 0.88 | 1.10 | 12.1 |
| Approach | 354 | 8.1 | 354 | 8.1 | 0.815 | 71.9 | LOS F ${ }^{11}$ | 7.7 | 57.1 | 1.00 | 0.88 | 1.11 | 11.9 |
| East: FALCON STREET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 L2 | 370 | 2.4 | 370 | 2.4 | 0.831 | 17.5 | LOS B | 19.5 | 139.2 | 0.68 | 0.74 | 0.70 | 28.3 |
| $5 \quad$ T1 | 1023 | 2.2 | 1023 | 2.2 | 0.831 | 14.4 | LOS A | 19.5 | 139.2 | 0.67 | 0.70 | 0.72 | 27.5 |
| Approach | 1394 | 2.2 | 1394 | 2.2 | 0.831 | 15.2 | LOS B | 19.5 | 139.2 | 0.67 | 0.71 | 0.71 | 27.7 |
| North: ALEXANDER STREET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 L2 | 17 | 6.7 | 17 | 6.7 | 0.215 | 62.3 | LOS E ${ }^{11}$ | 1.5 | 11.4 | 0.94 | 0.72 | 0.94 | 17.4 |
| $8 \quad$ T1 | 76 | 5.8 | 76 | 5.8 | 0.215 | 56.4 | LOS ${ }^{11}$ | 1.8 | 13.1 | 0.94 | 0.71 | 0.94 | 11.1 |
| Approach | 93 | 6.0 | 93 | 6.0 | 0.215 | 57.4 | LOS E ${ }^{11}$ | 1.8 | 13.1 | 0.94 | 0.71 | 0.94 | 12.4 |
| West: FALCON STREET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 L2 | 82 | 1.4 | 82 | 1.4 | 0.275 | 12.6 | LOS A | 7.8 | 55.8 | 0.55 | 0.54 | 0.55 | 38.0 |
| 11 T1 | 736 | 2.0 | 736 | 2.0 | 0.275 | 9.9 | LOS A | 10.9 | 77.9 | 0.67 | 0.62 | 0.67 | 37.9 |
| Approach | 818 | 1.9 | 818 | 1.9 | 0.275 | 10.2 | LOS A | 10.9 | 77.9 | 0.65 | 0.61 | 0.65 | 37.9 |
| All Vehicles | 2658 | 3.0 | 2658 | 3.0 | 0.831 | 22.7 | LOS B | 19.5 | 139.2 | 0.72 | 0.70 | 0.76 | 24.0 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.
11 Level of Service is worse than the Level of Service Target specified in the Parameter Settings dialog.

| Movement Performance - Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mov } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | Description | Demand Flow ped/h | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | Average Back Pedestrian ped | of Queue Distance m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate |
| P1 | South Full Crossing | 13 | 59.2 | LOS E ${ }^{12}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| P2 | East Full Crossing | 15 | 59.2 | LOS E ${ }^{12}$ | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| P3 | North Full Crossing | 16 | 59.2 | LOS E ${ }^{12}$ | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| P4 | West Full Crossing | 19 | 59.2 | LOS E ${ }^{12}$ | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| All Pedestrians |  | 63 | 59.2 | LOS $\mathrm{E}^{12}$ |  |  | 0.95 | 0.95 |

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay)

PACIFIC／ALEXANDER－EXISTING AM＋GROWTH
Site Category：（None）
Signals－Fixed Time Coordinated Cycle Time＝ 150 seconds（Network Practical Cycle Time）
Design Life Analysis（Final Year）：Results for 10 years

| Movement Performance－Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov Turn ID | Demand Flows Arrival Flows Total HV Total HV |  |  |  | Deg． Satn v／c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | Aver．Back of Queue Prop． Vehicles Distance Queued |  |  | Effective Aver．No．Average Stop Cycles Speed |  |  |
|  | veh／h |  | veh／h | \％ |  |  |  | veh | m |  |  |  | km／h |
| South：PACIFIC HIGHWAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2 \quad \mathrm{~T} 1$ | 1041 | 9.6 | 1041 | 9.6 | 0.455 | 4.4 | LOS A | 9.0 | 68.2 | 0.32 | 0.30 | 0.32 | 38.7 |
| 3a R1 | 315 | 9.5 | 315 | 9.5 | 0.693 | 33.8 | LOS C | 9.3 | 70.4 | 0.72 | 0.75 | 0.72 | 12.0 |
| Approach | 1356 | 9.5 | 1356 | 9.5 | 0.693 | 11.2 | LOS A | 9.3 | 70.4 | 0.41 | 0.40 | 0.41 | 25.1 |
| NorthEast：ALEXANDER STREET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24a L1 | 337 | 6.9 | 337 | 6.9 | 0.311 | 23.3 | LOS B | 9.0 | 66.9 | 0.66 | 0.75 | 0.66 | 21.1 |
| 26b R3 | 63 | 3.5 | 63 | 3.5 | 0.743 | 85.3 | LOS F ${ }^{11}$ | 3.1 | 22.2 | 1.00 | 0.86 | 1.18 | 4.4 |
| Approach | 400 | 6.4 | 400 | 6.4 | 0.743 | 33.1 | LOS C | 9.0 | 66.9 | 0.71 | 0.77 | 0.74 | 15.9 |
| North：PACIFIC HIGHWAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7b L3 | 19 | 0.0 | 19 | 0.0 | 0.788 | 18.0 | LOS B | 9.7 | 74.9 | 0.50 | 0.46 | 0.50 | 24.1 |
| 8 T1 | 1390 | 12.0 | 1390 | 12.0 | 0.788 | 24.0 | LOS B | 15.5 | 120.0 | 0.64 | 0.57 | 0.64 | 23.2 |
| Approach | 1409 | 11.8 | 1409 | 11.8 | 0.788 | 23.9 | LOS B | 15.5 | 120.0 | 0.63 | 0.57 | 0.64 | 23.2 |
| All Vehicles | 3165 | 10.2 | 3165 | 10.2 | 0.788 | 19.6 | LOS B | 15.5 | 120.0 | 0.55 | 0.52 | 0.56 | 22.3 |

Site Level of Service（LOS）Method：Delay（RTA NSW）．Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog（Network tab）． Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement．
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements．
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used．Control Delay includes Geometric Delay．
Gap－Acceptance Capacity：SIDRA Standard（Akçelik M3D）．
HV（\％）values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation．
11 Level of Service is worse than the Level of Service Target specified in the Parameter Settings dialog．

| Movement Performance－Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mov } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | Description | Demand Flow ped／h | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | Average Back Pedestrian ped | 解 Queue Distance $\qquad$ m | Prop． Queued | Effective Stop Rate |
| P6 | NorthEast Full Crossing | 47 | 69.3 | LOS ${ }^{12}$ | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| P3 | North Full Crossing | 21 | 69.2 | LOS $\mathrm{F}^{12}$ | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| All Pedestrians |  | 68 | 69.2 | LOS $F^{12}$ |  |  | 0.96 | 0.96 |

Level of Service（LOS）Method：SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method（Based on Average Delay）
Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement．
Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements．
12 Level of Service is worse than the Pedestrian Level of Service Target specified in the Parameter Settings dialog．

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8．0｜Copyright © 2000－2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd｜sidrasolutions．com
Organisation：BARKER RYAN STEWART｜Processed：Tuesday， 12 May 2020 10：40：13 AM
Project：C：IUsers\robert｜DocumentsICrows Nest Triangle\［CC200015］EXISTING＋GROWTH．sip8

PACIFIC / ALEXANDER - EXISTING PM + GROWTH
Site Category: (None)
Signals - Fixed Time Coordinated Cycle Time = 130 seconds (Network Practical Cycle Time)
Design Life Analysis (Final Year): Results for 10 years

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mov Turn } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | Demand Flows Arrival Flows Total HV Total HV |  |  |  | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | Aver. Back of Queue Prop. Vehicles Distance Queued |  |  | Effective Aver. No.Average Stop Cycles Speed |  |  |
|  | veh/h |  | veh/h | \% |  |  |  | veh | m |  |  |  | km/h |
| South: PACIFIC HIGHWAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2 \quad \mathrm{~T} 1$ | 1231 | 6.6 | 1231 | 6.6 | 0.725 | 9.2 | LOS A | 12.0 | 88.6 | 0.53 | 0.49 | 0.53 | 27.8 |
| 3a R1 | 325 | 8.8 | 325 | 8.8 | 0.859 | 49.5 | LOS ${ }^{11}$ | 11.4 | 86.0 | 0.78 | 0.89 | 1.00 | 8.7 |
| Approach | 1556 | 7.1 | 1556 | 7.1 | 0.859 | 17.6 | LOS B | 12.0 | 88.6 | 0.59 | 0.57 | 0.63 | 18.8 |
| NorthEast: ALEXANDER STREET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24a L1 | 318 | 4.2 | 318 | 4.2 | 0.713 | 58.1 | LOS E ${ }^{11}$ | 9.0 | 65.6 | 0.98 | 0.85 | 1.02 | 11.1 |
| 26b R3 | 128 | 0.0 | 128 | 0.0 | 0.713 | 53.6 | LOS D ${ }^{11}$ | 7.6 | 53.6 | 0.94 | 0.83 | 0.97 | 6.6 |
| Approach | 447 | 3.0 | 447 | 3.0 | 0.713 | 56.8 | LOS E ${ }^{11}$ | 9.0 | 65.6 | 0.97 | 0.85 | 1.01 | 10.0 |
| North: PACIFIC HIGHWAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7b L3 | 27 | 0.0 | 27 | 0.0 | 0.607 | 18.0 | LOS B | 4.6 | 33.4 | 0.40 | 0.38 | 0.40 | 23.8 |
| 8 T1 | 928 | 5.5 | 928 | 5.5 | 0.607 | 14.7 | LOS B | 7.3 | 53.5 | 0.47 | 0.41 | 0.47 | 30.3 |
| Approach | 955 | 5.3 | 955 | 5.3 | 0.607 | 14.8 | LOS B | 7.3 | 53.5 | 0.46 | 0.41 | 0.46 | 30.1 |
| All Vehicles | 2958 | 5.9 | 2958 | 5.9 | 0.859 | 22.6 | LOS B | 12.0 | 88.6 | 0.60 | 0.56 | 0.63 | 19.3 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.
11 Level of Service is worse than the Level of Service Target specified in the Parameter Settings dialog.

| Movement Performance - Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mov } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | Description | Demand Flow ped/h | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | Average Back Pedestrian ped | of Queue Distance $\qquad$ m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate |
| P6 | NorthEast Full Crossing | 63 | 59.3 | LOS E ${ }^{12}$ | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| P3 | North Full Crossing | 16 | 59.2 | LOS E ${ }^{12}$ | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| All Pedestrians |  | 80 | 59.3 | LOS $\mathrm{E}^{12}$ |  |  | 0.96 | 0.96 |

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay)
Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement.
Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.
12 Level of Service is worse than the Pedestrian Level of Service Target specified in the Parameter Settings dialog.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com
Organisation: BARKER RYAN STEWART | Processed: Tuesday, 12 May 2020 10:41:01 AM

Project: C:IUsers\robert|DocumentsICrows Nest Triangle\[CC200015] EXISTING + GROWTH.sip8

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## Site: PFS [PACIFIC / FALCON / SHIRLEY - EXISTING AM + GROWTH]

的审 Network: N101 [FIVE WAYS Existing AM + GROWTH ]

PACIFIC / FALCON / SHIRLEY - EXISTING AM + GROWTH
Site Category: (None)
Signals - Fixed Time Coordinated Cycle Time $=150$ seconds (Network Practical Cycle Time)
Design Life Analysis (Final Year): Results for 10 years

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov Turn ID | Demand Total veh/h | Flows HV \% | Arriva Total <br> veh/h | Flows HV <br> \% | Deg. Satn <br> v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | Aver. Back Vehicles veh | Queue stance <br> m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate | ver. No. A Cycles | erage peed <br> km/h |
| South: PACIFIC HIGHWAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 L2 | 197 | 11.2 | 197 | 11.2 | 0.166 | 15.1 | LOS B | 3.2 | 24.2 | 0.39 | 0.68 | 0.39 | 31.7 |
| 2 T1 | 747 | 9.5 | 747 | 9.5 | 0.888 | 65.1 | LOS $\mathrm{E}^{11}$ | 15.9 | 120.0 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 1.15 | 14.2 |
| Approach | 944 | 9.8 | 944 | 9.8 | 0.888 | 54.7 | LOS ${ }^{11}$ | 15.9 | 120.0 | 0.87 | 0.92 | 1.00 | 16.0 |
| East: FALCON STREET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 L2 | 14 | 38.5 | 14 | 38.5 | 0.986 | 80.9 | LOS F ${ }^{11}$ | 10.8 | 80.0 | 1.00 | 1.08 | 1.30 | 4.4 |
| $5 \quad \mathrm{~T} 1$ | 312 | 4.3 | 312 | 4.3 | 0.986 | 75.8 | LOS F ${ }^{11}$ | 10.8 | 80.0 | 1.00 | 1.08 | 1.30 | 11.4 |
| 6 R2 | 549 | 10.3 | 549 | 10.3 | 0.986 | 78.9 | LOS F ${ }^{11}$ | 10.8 | 80.0 | 1.00 | 1.03 | 1.31 | 10.9 |
| Approach | 875 | 8.6 | 875 | 8.6 | 0.986 | 77.8 | LOS F ${ }^{11}$ | 10.8 | 80.0 | 1.00 | 1.05 | 1.30 | 11.0 |
| North: PACIFIC HIGHWAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 L2 | 434 | 9.7 | 434 | 9.7 | 0.964 | 95.4 | LOS $\mathrm{F}^{11}$ | 27.4 | 207.4 | 1.00 | 1.08 | 1.41 | 7.2 |
| 8 T1 | 865 | 4.6 | 865 | 4.6 | 0.998 | 109.8 | LOS ${ }^{11}$ | 26.6 | 193.3 | 1.00 | 1.25 | 1.48 | 6.4 |
| Approach | 1300 | 6.3 | 1300 | 6.3 | 0.998 | 105.0 | LOS F ${ }^{11}$ | 27.4 | 207.4 | 1.00 | 1.19 | 1.46 | 6.6 |
| West: SHIRLEY ROAD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 L2 | 49 | 13.6 | 49 | 13.6 | 0.999 | 120.3 | LOS $\mathrm{F}^{11}$ | 27.6 | 202.2 | 1.00 | 1.31 | 1.54 | 10.6 |
| 11 T1 | 516 | 4.5 | 516 | 4.5 | 0.999 | 115.3 | LOS F ${ }^{11}$ | 27.6 | 202.2 | 1.00 | 1.28 | 1.54 | 6.2 |
| 12 R2 | 211 | 1.6 | 211 | 1.6 | 0.999 | 122.3 | LOS $\mathrm{F}^{11}$ | 25.6 | 183.6 | 1.00 | 1.23 | 1.55 | 6.0 |
| Approach | 776 | 4.3 | 776 | 4.3 | 0.999 | 117.5 | LOS F ${ }^{11}$ | 27.6 | 202.2 | 1.00 | 1.27 | 1.54 | 6.4 |
| All Vehicles | 3895 | 7.3 | 3895 | 7.3 | 0.999 | 89.2 | LOS ${ }^{11}$ | 27.6 | 207.4 | 0.97 | 1.11 | 1.33 | 9.1 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.
11 Level of Service is worse than the Level of Service Target specified in the Parameter Settings dialog.

| Movement Performance - Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov ID | Description | Demand Flow ped/h | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | Average Back Pedestrian ped | of Queue Distance m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate |
| P1 | South Full Crossing | 149 | 69.6 | LOS $\mathrm{F}^{12}$ | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.97 | 0.97 |
| P2 | East Full Crossing | 47 | 69.3 | LOS F ${ }^{12}$ | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| P3 | North Full Crossing | 77 | 69.3 | LOS $\mathrm{F}^{12}$ | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| P4 | West Full Crossing | 114 | 69.4 | LOS $\mathrm{F}^{12}$ | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| All Pedestrians |  | 387 | 69.4 | LOS $F^{12}$ |  |  | 0.96 | 0.96 |

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## Site: PFS [PACIFIC / FALCON / SHIRLEY - EXISTING PM + GROWTH]

审审 Network: N101 [FIVE WAYS Existing PM + GROWTH]

PACIFIC / FALCON / SHIRLEY - EXISTING PM + GROWTH
Site Category: (None)
Signals - Fixed Time Coordinated Cycle Time $=130$ seconds (Network Practical Cycle Time)
Design Life Analysis (Final Year): Results for 10 years

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov Turn ID | Demand Total veh/h | Fows HV \% | Arriva Total veh/h | ows <br> HV <br> \% | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | Aver. Back Vehicles veh | of Queue Distance <br> m | Prop. Queued | Effective A Stop Rate | Aver. No.A Cycles | erage peed <br> km/h |
| South: PACIFIC HIGHWAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 L2 | 587 | 0.6 | 587 | 0.6 | 0.957 | 58.0 | LOS $\mathrm{E}^{11}$ | 17.1 | 120.0 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 1.21 | 15.1 |
| 2 T1 | 649 | 1.0 | 649 | 1.0 | 0.513 | 33.5 | LOS C | 10.2 | 71.8 | 0.80 | 0.68 | 0.80 | 22.6 |
| Approach | 1236 | 0.8 | 1236 | 0.8 | 0.957 | 45.1 | LOS ${ }^{11}$ | 17.1 | 120.0 | 0.89 | 0.82 | 0.99 | 18.3 |
| East: FALCON STREET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 L2 | 14 | 0.0 | 14 | 0.0 | 0.971 | 85.8 | LOS $\mathrm{F}^{11}$ | 11.3 | 80.0 | 1.00 | 1.19 | 1.38 | 4.3 |
| $5 \quad$ T1 | 578 | 1.1 | 578 | 1.1 | 0.971 | 80.6 | LOS F ${ }^{11}$ | 11.3 | 80.0 | 1.00 | 1.19 | 1.38 | 11.1 |
| 6 R2 | 450 | 4.4 | 450 | 4.4 | 0.816 | 54.0 | LOS ${ }^{11}$ | 11.0 | 80.0 | 0.98 | 0.91 | 1.06 | 14.6 |
| Approach | 1042 | 2.5 | 1042 | 2.5 | 0.971 | 69.2 | LOS E ${ }^{11}$ | 11.3 | 80.0 | 0.99 | 1.07 | 1.25 | 12.2 |
| North: PACIFIC HIGHWAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 L2 | 342 | 3.6 | 342 | 3.6 | 0.695 | 47.1 | LOS ${ }^{11}$ | 11.6 | 84.0 | 0.93 | 0.85 | 0.93 | 12.9 |
| 8 T1 | 858 | 8.4 | 858 | 8.4 | 0.710 | 41.5 | LOS C | 14.6 | 109.6 | 0.94 | 0.82 | 0.94 | 14.3 |
| Approach | 1199 | 7.0 | 1199 | 7.0 | 0.710 | 43.1 | LOS ${ }^{11}$ | 14.6 | 109.6 | 0.94 | 0.83 | 0.94 | 13.9 |
| West: SHIRLEY ROAD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 L2 | 50 | 2.2 | 50 | 2.2 | 0.966 | 93.6 | LOS $\mathrm{F}^{11}$ | 18.7 | 132.3 | 1.00 | 1.19 | 1.47 | 13.1 |
| 11 T1 | 479 | 1.2 | 479 | 1.2 | 0.966 | 89.9 | LOS F ${ }^{11}$ | 18.7 | 132.3 | 1.00 | 1.19 | 1.50 | 7.7 |
| 12 R2 | 101 | 0.0 | 101 | 0.0 | 0.966 | 99.1 | LOS $\mathrm{F}^{11}$ | 14.3 | 100.5 | 1.00 | 1.20 | 1.55 | 7.3 |
| Approach | 629 | 1.1 | 629 | 1.1 | 0.966 | 91.7 | LOS F ${ }^{11}$ | 18.7 | 132.3 | 1.00 | 1.19 | 1.51 | 8.1 |
| All Vehicles | 4106 | 3.1 | 4106 | 3.1 | 0.971 | 57.8 | LOS $\mathrm{E}^{11}$ | 18.7 | 132.3 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.12 | 13.3 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab).
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.
11 Level of Service is worse than the Level of Service Target specified in the Parameter Settings dialog.

| Movement Performance - Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov ID | Description | Demand Flow ped/h | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | Average Back Pedestrian ped | of Queue Distance $\qquad$ | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate |
| P1 | South Full Crossing | 200 | 59.6 | LOS E ${ }^{12}$ | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| P2 | East Full Crossing | 58 | 59.3 | LOS E ${ }^{12}$ | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| P3 | North Full Crossing | 93 | 59.4 | LOS E ${ }^{12}$ | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| P4 | West Full Crossing | 172 | 59.6 | LOS E ${ }^{12}$ | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| All Pedestrians |  | 523 | 59.5 | LOS E ${ }^{12}$ |  |  | 0.96 | 0.96 |

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

日 Site：FA［FALCON／ALEXANDER－EXISTING AM＋GROWTH＋审审 Network：N101［FIVE WAYS DEVT ］

FALCON／ALEXANDER－EXISTING AM＋GROWTH＋DEVT
Site Category：（None）
Signals－Fixed Time Coordinated Cycle Time＝ 150 seconds（Network Practical Cycle Time）
Design Life Analysis（Final Year）：Results for 10 years

| Movement Performance－Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Mov } \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ |  | Demand Flows Arrival Flows Total HV Total HV |  |  |  | Deg． Satn v／c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | Aver．Back of Queue Prop． Vehicles Distance Queued |  |  | Effective Aver．No．Average Stop Cycles Speed |  |  |
|  |  | veh／h |  | veh／h | \％ |  |  |  | veh | m |  |  |  | km／h |
| South：ALEXANDER STREET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | L2 | 65 | 3.4 | 65 | 3.4 | 0.433 | 50.4 | LOS ${ }^{11}$ | 6.5 | 48.0 | 0.85 | 0.75 | 0.85 | 7.2 |
| 2 | T1 | 293 | 9.4 | 293 | 9.4 | 0.433 | 44.5 | LOS ${ }^{11}$ | 7.2 | 53.8 | 0.80 | 0.71 | 0.80 | 17.1 |
| 3 | R2 | 45 | 0.0 | 45 | 0.0 | 0.433 | 49.8 | LOS ${ }^{11}$ | 7.2 | 53.8 | 0.77 | 0.68 | 0.77 | 16.9 |
| Appr | ach | 403 | 7.4 | 403 | 7.4 | 0.433 | 46.0 | LOS ${ }^{11}$ | 7.2 | 53.8 | 0.81 | 0.71 | 0.81 | 15.7 |
| East：FALCON STREET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | L2 | 375 | 5.0 | 375 | 5.0 | 0.954 | 71.8 | LOS $\mathrm{F}^{11}$ | 37.8 | 279.6 | 1.00 | 1.10 | 1.31 | 9.5 |
| 5 | T1 | 833 | 8.6 | 833 | 8.6 | 0.954 | 71.8 | LOS $\mathrm{F}^{11}$ | 37.8 | 279.6 | 1.00 | 1.16 | 1.32 | 9.1 |
| Appr | ach | 1208 | 7.5 | 1208 | 7.5 | 0.954 | 71.8 | LOS $\mathrm{F}^{11}$ | 37.8 | 279.6 | 1.00 | 1.14 | 1.32 | 9.2 |
| North：ALEXANDER STREET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | L2 | 14 | 15.4 | 14 | 15.4 | 0.088 | 45.2 | LOS ${ }^{11}$ | 1.2 | 9.3 | 0.74 | 0.63 | 0.74 | 21.4 |
| 8 | T1 | 74 | 9.0 | 74 | 9.0 | 0.088 | 39.3 | LOS C | 1.6 | 11.8 | 0.75 | 0.59 | 0.75 | 14.7 |
| Appr | ach | 88 | 10.0 | 88 | 10.0 | 0.088 | 40.2 | LOS C | 1.6 | 11.8 | 0.75 | 0.60 | 0.75 | 16.1 |
| West：FALCON STREET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | L2 | 85 | 5.2 | 85 | 5.2 | 0.421 | 21.3 | LOS B | 10.8 | 80.0 | 0.60 | 0.58 | 0.60 | 28.7 |
| 11 | T1 | 865 | 7.0 | 865 | 7.0 | 0.421 | 19.9 | LOS B | 10.8 | 80.0 | 0.70 | 0.65 | 0.70 | 28.0 |
| Appr | ach | 951 | 6.9 | 951 | 6.9 | 0.421 | 20.0 | LOS B | 10.8 | 80.0 | 0.69 | 0.64 | 0.69 | 28.1 |
| All V | hicles | 2650 | 7.3 | 2650 | 7.3 | 0.954 | 48.3 | LOS ${ }^{11}$ | 37.8 | 279.6 | 0.85 | 0.88 | 1.00 | 14.4 |

Site Level of Service（LOS）Method：Delay（RTA NSW）．Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog（Network tab）． Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement．
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements．
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used．Control Delay includes Geometric Delay．
Gap－Acceptance Capacity：SIDRA Standard（Akçelik M3D）．
HV（\％）values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation．
11 Level of Service is worse than the Level of Service Target specified in the Parameter Settings dialog．

Movement Performance－Pedestrians

| Mov |  | Demand | Average | Level of | Average | Queue | Prop． | Effective |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ID | Description | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Flow } \\ & \text { ped/h } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { sec } \end{aligned}$ | Service | Pedestrian ped | Distance $\qquad$ | Queued | Stop Rate |
| P1 | South Full Crossing | 48 | 69.3 | LOS ${ }^{12}$ | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| P2 | East Full Crossing | 68 | 69.3 | LOS $\mathrm{F}^{12}$ | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| P3 | North Full Crossing | 63 | 69.3 | LOS $\mathrm{F}^{12}$ | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| P4 | West Full Crossing | 62 | 69.3 | LOS F ${ }^{12}$ | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| All Pedestrians |  | 241 | 69.3 | LOS F ${ }^{12}$ |  |  | 0.96 | 0.96 |

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## 日 Site：FA［FALCON／ALEXANDER－EXISTING PM＋GROWTH＋审审 Network：N101［FIVE WAYS DEVT ］ <br> Existing PM＋GROWTH＋DEVT］

FALCON／ALEXANDER－EXISTING PM＋GROWTH＋DEVT
Site Category：（None）
Signals－Fixed Time Coordinated Cycle Time $=140$ seconds（Network Practical Cycle Time）
Design Life Analysis（Final Year）：Results for 10 years


Site Level of Service（LOS）Method：Delay（RTA NSW）．Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog（Network tab）． Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement．
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements．
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used．Control Delay includes Geometric Delay．
Gap－Acceptance Capacity：SIDRA Standard（Akçelik M3D）．
HV（\％）values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation．

11 Level of Service is worse than the Level of Service Target specified in the Parameter Settings dialog．

| Movement Performance－Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov ID | Description | Demand Flow ped／h | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | Average Back Pedestrian ped | of Queue Distance $\qquad$ m | Prop． Queued | Effective Stop Rate |
| P1 | South Full Crossing | 13 | 64.2 | LOS $\mathrm{F}^{12}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| P2 | East Full Crossing | 15 | 64.2 | LOS $\mathrm{F}^{12}$ | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| P3 | North Full Crossing | 16 | 64.2 | LOS $\mathrm{F}^{12}$ | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| P4 | West Full Crossing | 19 | 64.2 | LOS $F^{12}$ | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| All Pedestrians |  | 63 | 64.2 | LOS $\mathrm{F}^{12}$ |  |  | 0.96 | 0.96 |

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

日 Site：PA［PACIFIC／ALEXANDER－EXISTING AM＋GROWTH＋ DEVT］

PACIFIC／ALEXANDER－EXISTING AM＋GROWTH＋DEVT
Site Category：（None）
Signals－Fixed Time Coordinated Cycle Time＝ 150 seconds（Network Practical Cycle Time）
Design Life Analysis（Final Year）：Results for 10 years

| Movement Performance－Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov Turn ID | Demand Flows Arrival Flows |  |  |  | Deg． Satn v／c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | Aver．Back of Queue Vehicles Distance |  | Prop． Queued | Effective Aver．No．Average Stop Cycles Speed |  |  |
|  | veh／h |  | veh／h | \％ |  |  |  | veh | m |  |  |  | km／h |
| South：PACIFIC HIGHWAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 T1 | 1041 | 9.6 | 1041 | 9.6 | 0.452 | 4.1 | LOS A | 8.7 | 65.8 | 0.31 | 0.28 | 0.31 | 39.7 |
| 3a R1 | 342 | 8.7 | 342 | 8.7 | 0.799 | 39.0 | LOS C | 11.1 | 83.6 | 0.71 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 10.7 |
| Approach | 1383 | 9.4 | 1383 | 9.4 | 0.799 | 12.7 | LOS A | 11.1 | 83.6 | 0.41 | 0.41 | 0.43 | 23.3 |
| NorthEast：ALEXANDER STREET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24a L1 | 360 | 6.4 | 360 | 6.4 | 0.328 | 22.4 | LOS B | 9.3 | 69.1 | 0.64 | 0.74 | 0.64 | 21.7 |
| 26b R3 | 63 | 3.5 | 63 | 3.5 | 0.793 | 88.5 | LOS F ${ }^{11}$ | 3.2 | 22.7 | 1.00 | 0.89 | 1.25 | 4.2 |
| Approach | 423 | 6.0 | 423 | 6.0 | 0.793 | 32.2 | LOS C | 9.3 | 69.1 | 0.69 | 0.77 | 0.73 | 16.3 |
| North：PACIFIC HIGHWAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7b L3 | 45 | 0.0 | 45 | 0.0 | 0.821 | 18.6 | LOS B | 10.8 | 82.9 | 0.54 | 0.52 | 0.55 | 23.0 |
| 8 T1 | 1390 | 12.0 | 1390 | 12.0 | 0.821 | 25.3 | LOS B | 15.5 | 120.0 | 0.67 | 0.62 | 0.69 | 22.3 |
| Approach | 1436 | 11.6 | 1436 | 11.6 | 0.821 | 25.1 | LOS B | 15.5 | 120.0 | 0.67 | 0.61 | 0.68 | 22.3 |
| All Vehicles | 3242 | 9.9 | 3242 | 9.9 | 0.821 | 20.7 | LOS B | 15.5 | 120.0 | 0.56 | 0.55 | 0.58 | 21.5 |

Site Level of Service（LOS）Method：Delay（RTA NSW）．Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog（Network tab）．
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement．
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements．
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used．Control Delay includes Geometric Delay．
Gap－Acceptance Capacity：SIDRA Standard（Akçelik M3D）．
HV（\％）values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation．
11 Level of Service is worse than the Level of Service Target specified in the Parameter Settings dialog．

| Movement Performance－Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mov } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | Description | Demand Flow ped／h | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | Average Back Pedestrian ped | of Queue Distance m | Prop． Queued | Effective Stop Rate |
| P6 | NorthEast Full Crossing | 47 | 69.3 | LOS F ${ }^{12}$ | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| P3 | North Full Crossing | 21 | 69.2 | LOS F ${ }^{12}$ | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| All Pedestrians |  | 68 | 69.2 | LOS $\mathrm{F}^{12}$ |  |  | 0.96 | 0.96 |

Level of Service（LOS）Method：SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method（Based on Average Delay）
Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement．
Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements．
12 Level of Service is worse than the Pedestrian Level of Service Target specified in the Parameter Settings dialog．

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## Site: PA [PACIFIC / ALEXANDER - EXISTING PM + GROWTH + DEVT] <br> $\qquad$ <br> 軮 Network: N101 [FIVE WAYS

PACIFIC / ALEXANDER - EXISTING PM + GROWTH + DEVT
Site Category: (None)
Signals - Fixed Time Coordinated Cycle Time = 140 seconds (Network Practical Cycle Time)
Design Life Analysis (Final Year): Results for 10 years

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov Turn ID | Demand Total veh/h | Flows HV \% | Arriva Total veh/h | lows <br> HV <br> \% | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | Aver. Back of Queue Prop. Vehicles Distance Queued |  |  | Effective Aver. No.Average Stop Cycles Speed |  |  |
| South: PACIFIC HIGHWAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 T1 | 1231 | 6.6 | 1231 | 6.6 | 0.708 | 8.0 | LOS A | 11.5 | 84.7 | 0.49 | 0.45 | 0.49 | 29.7 |
| 3a R1 | 356 | 8.1 | 356 | 8.1 | 0.818 | 37.8 | LOS C | 11.4 | 84.9 | 0.70 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 10.9 |
| Approach | 1587 | 7.0 | 1587 | 7.0 | 0.818 | 14.7 | LOS B | 11.5 | 84.9 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.56 | 21.2 |
| NorthEast: ALEXANDER STREET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24a L1 | 334 | 4.0 | 334 | 4.0 | 0.842 | 70.3 | LOS $\mathrm{E}^{11}$ | 10.9 | 78.9 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 1.14 | 9.5 |
| 26b R3 | 128 | 0.0 | 128 | 0.0 | 0.842 | 66.1 | LOS E ${ }^{11}$ | 9.2 | 65.4 | 1.00 | 0.89 | 1.13 | 5.5 |
| Approach | 462 | 2.9 | 462 | 2.9 | 0.842 | 69.2 | LOS E ${ }^{11}$ | 10.9 | 78.9 | 1.00 | 0.90 | 1.14 | 8.5 |
| North: PACIFIC HIGHWAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7b L3 | 40 | 0.0 | 40 | 0.0 | 0.831 | 41.9 | LOS C | 11.3 | 82.5 | 0.89 | 0.80 | 0.93 | 10.8 |
| 8 T1 | 928 | 5.5 | 928 | 5.5 | 0.831 | 37.5 | LOS C | 12.5 | 91.3 | 0.91 | 0.80 | 0.94 | 17.2 |
| Approach | 968 | 5.3 | 968 | 5.3 | 0.831 | 37.7 | LOS C | 12.5 | 91.3 | 0.91 | 0.80 | 0.94 | 17.0 |
| All Vehicles | 3017 | 5.8 | 3017 | 5.8 | 0.842 | 30.4 | LOS C | 12.5 | 91.3 | 0.72 | 0.67 | 0.77 | 15.6 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.
11 Level of Service is worse than the Level of Service Target specified in the Parameter Settings dialog.

| Movement Performance - Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mov } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | Description | Demand Flow ped/h | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | Average Back Pedestrian ped | of Queue Distance m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate |
| P6 | NorthEast Full Crossing | 63 | 64.3 | LOS F ${ }^{12}$ | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| P3 | North Full Crossing | 16 | 64.2 | LOS F ${ }^{12}$ | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| All Pedestrians |  | 80 | 64.3 | LOS $\mathrm{F}^{12}$ |  |  | 0.96 | 0.96 |

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay)
Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement.
Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.
12 Level of Service is worse than the Pedestrian Level of Service Target specified in the Parameter Settings dialog.

## Site: PFS [PACIFIC / FALCON / SHIRLEY - EXISTING AM + GROWTH+ DEVT]

审审 Network: N101 [FIVE WAYS Existing AM + GROWTH + DEVT ]
PACIFIC / FALCON / SHIRLEY - EXISTING AM + GROWTH + DEVT
Site Category: (None)
Signals - Fixed Time Coordinated Cycle Time = 150 seconds (Network Practical Cycle Time)
Design Life Analysis (Final Year): Results for 10 years

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov Turn ID | Demand FlowsTotal HVveh/h |  | Arrival Flows Total HV |  | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay <br> sec | Level of Service | Aver. Back of Queue Vehicles Distance |  | Prop. Queued | Effective Aver. No.Average Stop Cycles Speed |  |  |
| South: PACIFIC HIGHWAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 L2 | 197 | 11.2 | 197 | 11.2 | 0.166 | 15.1 | LOS B | 3.2 | 24.2 | 0.39 | 0.68 | 0.39 | 31.7 |
| 2 T1 | 747 | 9.5 | 747 | 9.5 | 0.863 | 65.3 | LOS E ${ }^{11}$ | 15.9 | 120.0 | 1.00 | 0.96 | 1.11 | 14.2 |
| Approach | 944 | 9.8 | 944 | 9.8 | 0.863 | 54.8 | LOS ${ }^{11}$ | 15.9 | 120.0 | 0.87 | 0.90 | 0.96 | 16.0 |
| East: FALCON STREET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 L2 | 14 | 38.5 | 14 | 38.5 | 1.013 | 97.4 | LOS F ${ }^{11}$ | 10.8 | 80.0 | 1.00 | 1.14 | 1.38 | 3.7 |
| 5 T1 | 323 | 4.1 | 323 | 4.1 | 1.013 | 92.2 | LOS $\mathrm{F}^{11}$ | 10.8 | 80.0 | 1.00 | 1.14 | 1.38 | 9.7 |
| 6 R2 | 563 | 10.0 | 563 | 10.0 | 1.013 | 94.1 | LOS F ${ }^{11}$ | 10.8 | 80.0 | 1.00 | 1.07 | 1.39 | 9.4 |
| Approach | 900 | 8.4 | 900 | 8.4 | 1.013 | 93.5 | LOS F ${ }^{11}$ | 10.8 | 80.0 | 1.00 | 1.10 | 1.39 | 9.4 |
| North: PACIFIC HIGHWAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $7 \quad$ L2 | 434 | 9.7 | 434 | 9.7 | 0.952 | 88.4 | LOS $\mathrm{F}^{11}$ | 26.4 | 199.8 | 1.00 | 1.06 | 1.37 | 7.7 |
| 8 T1 | 881 | 4.5 | 881 | 4.5 | 1.028 | 126.7 | LOS $\mathrm{F}^{11}$ | 29.6 | 215.6 | 1.00 | 1.34 | 1.59 | 5.5 |
| Approach | 1315 | 6.2 | 1315 | 6.2 | 1.028 | 114.0 | LOS F ${ }^{11}$ | 29.6 | 215.6 | 1.00 | 1.25 | 1.52 | 6.1 |
| West: SHIRLEY ROAD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 L2 | 49 | 13.6 | 49 | 13.6 | 1.031 | 139.5 | LOS $\mathrm{F}^{11}$ | 30.0 | 219.8 | 1.00 | 1.39 | 1.65 | 9.3 |
| 11 T1 | 516 | 4.5 | 516 | 4.5 | 1.031 | 134.4 | LOS $\mathrm{F}^{11}$ | 30.0 | 219.8 | 1.00 | 1.36 | 1.66 | 5.3 |
| 12 R 2 | 222 | 1.5 | 222 | 1.5 | 1.031 | 141.3 | LOS $\mathrm{F}^{11}$ | 27.8 | 199.3 | 1.00 | 1.29 | 1.67 | 5.2 |
| Approach | 787 | 4.2 | 787 | 4.2 | 1.031 | 136.7 | LOS F ${ }^{11}$ | 30.0 | 219.8 | 1.00 | 1.35 | 1.66 | 5.5 |
| All Vehicles | 3946 | 7.2 | 3946 | 7.2 | 1.031 | 99.7 | LOS $\mathrm{F}^{11}$ | 30.0 | 219.8 | 0.97 | 1.15 | 1.38 | 8.2 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.
11 Level of Service is worse than the Level of Service Target specified in the Parameter Settings dialog.

Movement Performance - Pedestrians

| Mov | Description | Demand | Average | Level of | Average Ba | of Queue | Prop. | Effective |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Flow ped/h | Delay sec | Service | Pedestrian ped | Distance <br> m | Queued | Stop Rate |
| P1 | South Full Crossing | 149 | 69.6 | LOS F ${ }^{12}$ | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.97 | 0.97 |
| P2 | East Full Crossing | 47 | 69.3 | LOS F ${ }^{12}$ | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| P3 | North Full Crossing | 77 | 69.3 | LOS $F^{12}$ | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| P4 | West Full Crossing | 114 | 69.4 | LOS ${ }^{12}$ | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| All Pedestrians |  | 387 | 69.4 | LOS $F^{12}$ |  |  | 0.96 | 0.96 |

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## Site: PFS [PACIFIC / FALCON / SHIRLEY - EXISTING PM + GROWTH + DEVT]

蚄 Network: N101 [FIVE WAYS Existing PM + GROWTH + DEVT]

PACIFIC / FALCON / SHIRLEY - EXISTING PM + GROWTH + DEVT
Site Category: (None)
Signals - Fixed Time Coordinated Cycle Time = 140 seconds (Network Practical Cycle Time)
Design Life Analysis (Final Year): Results for 10 years

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov Turn ID | Demand Total veh/h | Fows HV \% | Arriva Total veh/h | ows <br> HV <br> \% | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | Aver. Back Vehicles veh | of Queue Distance <br> m | Prop. Queued | Effective A Stop Rate | Aver. No.A Cycles | rage eed <br> km/h |
| South: PACIFIC HIGHWAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 L 2 | 587 | 0.6 | 587 | 0.6 | 0.984 | 71.5 | LOS F ${ }^{11}$ | 17.1 | 120.0 | 1.00 | 1.01 | 1.28 | 12.9 |
| 2 T1 | 649 | 1.0 | 649 | 1.0 | 0.527 | 37.0 | LOS C | 10.7 | 75.7 | 0.79 | 0.68 | 0.79 | 21.2 |
| Approach | 1236 | 0.8 | 1236 | 0.8 | 0.984 | 53.4 | LOS ${ }^{11}$ | 17.1 | 120.0 | 0.89 | 0.84 | 1.02 | 16.3 |
| East: FALCON STREET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 L2 | 14 | 0.0 | 14 | 0.0 | 0.993 | 95.6 | LOS F ${ }^{11}$ | 11.3 | 80.0 | 1.00 | 1.19 | 1.37 | 3.9 |
| $5 \quad$ T1 | 589 | 1.1 | 589 | 1.1 | 0.993 | 90.4 | LOS F ${ }^{11}$ | 11.3 | 80.0 | 1.00 | 1.19 | 1.37 | 10.1 |
| 6 R2 | 459 | 4.3 | 459 | 4.3 | 0.833 | 57.6 | LOS E ${ }^{11}$ | 11.0 | 80.0 | 0.98 | 0.90 | 1.04 | 13.9 |
| Approach | 1062 | 2.5 | 1062 | 2.5 | 0.993 | 76.3 | LOS $\mathrm{F}^{11}$ | 11.3 | 80.0 | 0.99 | 1.06 | 1.23 | 11.3 |
| North: PACIFIC HIGHWAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 L2 | 342 | 3.6 | 342 | 3.6 | 0.769 | 55.0 | LOS ${ }^{11}$ | 13.5 | 97.1 | 0.97 | 0.89 | 1.02 | 11.4 |
| 8 T1 | 874 | 8.2 | 874 | 8.2 | 0.848 | 55.6 | LOS ${ }^{11}$ | 19.0 | 142.4 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 1.12 | 11.4 |
| Approach | 1216 | 6.9 | 1216 | 6.9 | 0.848 | 55.4 | LOS ${ }^{11}$ | 19.0 | 142.4 | 0.99 | 0.95 | 1.09 | 11.4 |
| West: SHIRLEY ROAD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 L2 | 50 | 2.2 | 50 | 2.2 | 0.977 | 103.4 | LOS F ${ }^{11}$ | 21.2 | 149.7 | 1.00 | 1.22 | 1.48 | 12.1 |
| 11 T1 | 479 | 1.2 | 479 | 1.2 | 0.977 | 99.9 | LOS F ${ }^{11}$ | 21.2 | 149.7 | 1.00 | 1.22 | 1.51 | 7.0 |
| 12 R2 | 114 | 0.0 | 114 | 0.0 | 0.977 | 109.8 | LOS F ${ }^{11}$ | 15.9 | 112.3 | 1.00 | 1.22 | 1.56 | 6.7 |
| Approach | 642 | 1.0 | 642 | 1.0 | 0.977 | 102.0 | LOS $F^{11}$ | 21.2 | 149.7 | 1.00 | 1.22 | 1.51 | 7.4 |
| All Vehicles | 4156 | 3.1 | 4156 | 3.1 | 0.993 | 67.3 | LOS $\mathrm{E}^{11}$ | 21.2 | 149.7 | 0.96 | 0.99 | 1.17 | 11.8 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab).
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.
SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.
11 Level of Service is worse than the Level of Service Target specified in the Parameter Settings dialog.

| Movement Performance - Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov ID | Description | Demand Flow ped/h | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | Average Back Pedestrian ped | of Queue Distance $\qquad$ | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate |
| P1 | South Full Crossing | 200 | 64.7 | LOS $\mathrm{F}^{12}$ | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.97 | 0.97 |
| P2 | East Full Crossing | 58 | 64.3 | LOS $\mathrm{F}^{12}$ | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| P3 | North Full Crossing | 93 | 64.4 | LOS F ${ }^{12}$ | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| P4 | West Full Crossing | 172 | 64.6 | LOS $\mathrm{F}^{12}$ | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| All Pedestrians |  | 523 | 64.5 | LOS $\mathrm{F}^{12}$ |  |  | 0.96 | 0.96 |

